

Nonpharmacological Treatment of Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis and Guideline Recommendation.

Title: Nonpharmacological Treatment of Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis and Guideline Recommendation.

Publication year: 2021

Journal: JAMA network open

Authors: Danish Concussion Center, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Society: European Respiratory Society

Origin country: International (Europe)

Target countries: Europe

DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.32221

PMID: 34751759

Source: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34751759/>

pmc JAMA Netw Open JAMA Netw Open 3211 jamas 101729235 JAMA Network Open 2574-3805 pmc-is-collection-domain yes pmc-collection-title JAMA Network PMC8579233 PMC8579233.1 8579233 8579233 34751759 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.32221 zoi210919 1 Research Original Investigation Online Only Neurology Nonpharmacological Treatment of Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms in Adults A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis and Guideline Recommendation Nonpharmacological Treatment of Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms in Adults Nonpharmacological Treatment of Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms in Adults Rytter Hana Malá PhD 1 2 3 Graff Heidi J. PhD 1 Henriksen Henriette K. PT 1 4 Aaen Nicolai MSc 5 Hartvigsen Jan PhD 6 7 Hoegh Morten PhD 8 9 Nisted Ivan MSc 10 11 Næss-Schmidt Erhard Trillingsgaard PhD 12 Pedersen Lisbeth Lund MSc PT 13 Schytz Henrik Winther MD PhD DMSc 14 Thastum Mille Møller MSc PhD 12 Zerlang Bente OT 15 Callesen Henriette Edemann PhD 16 1 Danish Concussion Center, Copenhagen, Denmark 2 Department of Psychology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark 3 Department of Neurology, Bispebjerg-Frederiksberg University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark 4 Center for Rehabilitation of Brain Injury, Copenhagen, Denmark 5 The Danish Concussion Association, Copenhagen, Denmark 6 Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark 7 Chiropractic Knowledge Hub, Odense, Denmark 8 Musculoskeletal Health and Implementation, Department of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark 9 Pain Science Educator, Aarhus, Denmark 10 Danish College of Optometry, Dania Academy, Randers, Denmark 11 Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark 12 Hammel Neurorehabilitation Centre—University Clinic for Neurorehabilitation, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark 13 Alleens Physiotherapy and Sports Clinic, Odense, Denmark 14 Danish Headache Center, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark 15 Exercise and Health Training Center, Roskilde Municipality, Roskilde, Denmark 16 Callesen Method Consulting, Copenhagen, Denmark Article Information Accepted for Publication: August 31, 2021.

Published: November 9, 2021. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.32221

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License . © 2021 Rytter HM et al. JAMA Network Open .

Author Contributions: Dr Rytter had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Rytter, Graff, Hoegh, Næss-Schmidt, Thastum, Callesen.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All authors.

Drafting of the manuscript: Rytter, Pedersen, Callesen.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Graff, Hartvigsen, Callesen.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Rytter, Graff, Henriksen, Pedersen, Schytz, Callesen.

Supervision: Rytter, Aaen, Hartvigsen, Nisted, Næss-Schmidt, Pedersen, Zerlang, Callesen.

Review and grading of included articles: Hartvigsen.

Lead reviewer, formulation of the PICO questions, selection of studies, validating the data extraction and risk of bias evaluation, and rating the certainty of the evidence and formulating the final recommendations: Graff.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Rytter reported receiving grants from the Danish Ministry of Health where her employer received financial compensation during the conduct of the study. Dr Graff reported receiving grants from the Danish Ministry of Health during the conduct of the study and outside the submitted work. Mr Aaen reported receiving fees from the Danish Health Authority for membership in guideline development during the conduct of the study. Dr Hartvigsen reported receiving fees from the Danish Health Authority for membership in guideline development during the conduct of the study. Dr Hoegh reported receiving personal fees from the Danish Health Authority during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Acataleptia ApS MH; receiving fees for lectures related to pain and rehabilitation; and receiving royalties for books and book chapters he has written outside the submitted work. Mr Nisted reported receiving fees from the Danish Health Authority for membership in guideline development during the conduct of the study. Dr Næss-Schmidt reported receiving fees from the Danish Health Authority for membership in guideline development during the conduct of the study. Ms Pedersen reported receiving personal fees from the Danish Concussion Center, Copenhagen, Denmark, and from the Danish Health Authority for membership in guideline development during the conduct of the study. Dr Callesen reported receiving personal fees from the Danish Concussion Center, Copenhagen, Denmark, and the Danish Health Authority for consulting during the conduct of the study. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: Support for this study was provided in part by the Danish Ministry of Health based on The Danish Finance Act in 2017, and the work was approved and overseen by the Danish Health Authority. Members of the project group (Drs Rytter, Graff, Ms Henriksen, Dr Callesen) received financial compensation. Likewise, members of the working group (Mr Aaen, Dr Hoegh, Dr Hartvigsen, Mr Nisted, Dr Næss-Schmidt, Ms Pedersen) received a salary if they were not employed by the Danish Regions or Danish Municipalities. The work of members employed by the Danish Regions or Danish Municipalities (Drs Schytz, Thastum, Ms Zerlang) was funded by their employer. The members of the reference group did not receive any salary. Additional funding was provided by the Danish Concussion Center.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank information specialist Kirsten Birkefoss, MSc, for assistance with systematic literature searches and Ida Laenkholm for assistance with preparation of the material for the manuscript. Kirsten Birkefoss was financially compensated for her contribution.

9 11 2021 11 2021 4 11 393549 e2132221 5 7 2021 31 8 2021 09 11 2021 23 11 2021 28 01 2026
Copyright 2021 Rytter HM et al. JAMA Network Open .
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> This is an open access article distributed under the
terms of the CC-BY License. jamanetwopen-e2132221.pdf Advances in Clinical Management of
Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms-The Danish National Clinical Guideline. 4 11 1 11 2021
e2132424 e2132424 JAMA Netw Open 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.32424 34751765 Key
Points Question What is the evidence for nonpharmacological interventions to treat persistent
postconcussion symptoms?

Following a systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 randomized clinical trials comprising 2007 participants, using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations method, weak recommendations for the following were assigned: systematic provision of early information and advice, use of graded physical exercise, vestibular rehabilitation, manual treatment of neck and back, psychological treatment, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation. No studies were identified regarding oculomotor vision treatment, resulting in a consensus-based statement.

Based on very low to low certainty of evidence or on consensus, individually tailored nonpharmacological treatment of persistent symptoms was recommended, both through specific disciplines and interdisciplinary rehabilitation.

This systematic review and meta-analysis assesses the outcomes related to 7 nonpharmacological interventions for persistent postconcussion symptoms in adults and provides recommendations for clinical practice.

Persistent (>4 weeks) postconcussion symptoms (PPCS) are challenging for both patients and clinicians. There is uncertainty about the effect of commonly applied nonpharmacological treatments for the management of PPCS.

To systematically assess and summarize evidence for outcomes related to 7 nonpharmacological interventions for PPCS in adults (aged >18 years) and provide recommendations for clinical practice.

Systematic literature searches were performed via Embase, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, PEDro, OTseeker, and Cochrane Reviews (via MEDLINE and Embase) from earliest possible publication year to March 3, 2020. The literature was searched for prior systematic reviews and primary studies. To be included, studies had to be intervention studies with a control group and focus on PPCS.

A multidisciplinary guideline panel selected interventions based on frequency of use and need for decision support among clinicians, including early information and advice, graded physical exercise, vestibular rehabilitation, manual treatment of neck and back, oculomotor vision treatment, psychological treatment, and interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitative treatment. To be included, studies had to be intervention studies within the areas of the predefined clinical questions, include a control group, and focus on symptoms after concussion or mild traumatic brain injury.

Extraction was performed independently by multiple observers. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were used for data abstraction and data quality assessment. Included studies were assessed using the Assessment of Multiple Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) tool and the Cochrane Risk of Bias (randomized clinical trials) tool. Meta-analysis was performed for all interventions where possible. Random-effects models were used to calculate pooled estimates of effects. The level and certainty of evidence was rated and recommendations formulated according to the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) framework.

All outcomes were planned before data collection began according to a specified protocol. The primary outcomes were the collective burden of PPCS and another outcome reflecting the focus of a particular intervention (eg, physical functioning after graded exercise intervention).

Eleven systematic reviews were identified but did not contribute any primary studies; 19 randomized clinical trials comprising 2007 participants (1064 women [53.0%]) were separately identified and included. Evidence for the 7 interventions ranged from no evidence meeting the inclusion criteria to very low and low levels of evidence. Recommendations were weak for early information and advice, graded physical exercise, vestibular rehabilitation, manual treatment of the neck and back, psychological treatment, and interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitative treatment. No relevant evidence was identified for oculomotor vision treatment, so the panel provided a good clinical practice recommendation based on consensus.

Based on very low to low certainty of evidence or based on consensus, the guideline panel found weak scientific support for commonly applied nonpharmacological interventions to treat PPCS. Results align with recommendations in international guidelines. Intensified research into all types of intervention for PPCS is needed.

Concussion or mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) accounts for up to 90% of all TBIs. 1 The yearly incidence in Denmark is 457 per 100 000 inhabitants 2 ; however, these numbers do not include those who consult general practitioners or do not seek care. The true incidence is estimated to be around 600 per 100 000. 3

Concussion or mTBI is defined as a transmission of mechanical energy due to a blow to the head, neck, or body that results in disruption of brain function. 4 , 5 Consensus regarding diagnostic criteria emphasizes at least 1 of the following signs: (1) any alteration of mental state immediately after injury, (2) posttraumatic amnesia for less than 24 hours, (3) loss of consciousness for less than 30 minutes, or (4) other signs of focal and transient neurologic dysfunction. 5 , 6 , 7

Most people who sustain a concussion or mTBI recover quickly, but a significant proportion experience long-term symptoms. 8 , 9 , 10 According to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision (ICD-10), 11 recovery from concussion is expected within the first 2 to 3 weeks, and persistent symptoms are defined as those lasting for more than 4 weeks after injury. 12 Studies indicate that up to 34% to 44% of patients with concussion or mTBI experience symptoms at 3 to 6 months after injury, and between 5% and 20% experience symptoms at 12 months after injury. 8 , 13 , 14 , 15

Persistent postconcussion symptoms (PPCS) comprise a combination of physical (headache, dizziness, blurred vision, sleep disturbance, neck pain, and fatigue), cognitive (slowed thinking, difficulties with attention, concentration, memory, or executive functions), and emotional or behavioral symptoms (changed emotional responsivity, irritability, quickness to anger, disinhibition, or emotional lability), 16 and might be associated with changes in personality and difficulties regarding personal and professional identity. 17 There is an uncertainty regarding the effectiveness of commonly applied nonpharmacological interventions to treat PPCS, and to our knowledge, there is a scarcity of meta-analyses dedicated to this topic. Furthermore, although systematic approaches are in general applied, the Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) 18 approach has only been used sporadically.

The Danish Health Authority commissioned a set of National Clinical Guidelines with the objective to evaluate and summarize the evidence for the effectiveness of nonpharmacological interventions in adults experiencing PPCS and to provide recommendations for clinical practice. The selected areas of interest were (1) early information and advice, (2) graded physical exercise, (3) vestibular rehabilitation, (4) manual treatment of neck and spine, (5) oculomotor vision treatment, (6) psychological treatment, and (7) interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitative treatment. This article reports the methodology and results of this guideline based on meta-analyses and the GRADE

approach.

The content of this guideline is structured around selected clinical questions in accordance with the Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome (PICO) framework. 19 Making recommendations for diagnostic procedures, care pathways, or providing estimates of associated costs were beyond the scope of this guideline. Recommendations are based on systematic reviews and follow the principles described in GRADE. 18 This guideline followed the AGREE reporting checklist. The complete clinical guideline is available in Danish online. 20 A protocol for this systematic review and meta-analysis has been registered in the PROSPERO database. 21 The protocol for this study was reviewed and approved by the Danish Health Authority. This study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) reporting guideline.

The work was performed by a multidisciplinary group forming the guideline panel. This included a chairman, search specialist, methodologist, lead reviewer, and members appointed by professional societies and a patient organization. Members had clinical and academic expertise from neurology, physical therapy, neuropsychology, occupational therapy, optometry, chiropractic medicine, pain science, and epidemiology. The guideline panel was involved in every major step of the process. The work was coordinated by the chairman (H.M.R.) assisted by the lead reviewer (H.J.G.) and the methodologist (H.E.C.). If an included study was authored by a member of the guideline panel, the study was appraised by other members of the group. In addition, a reference group including stakeholders from the Danish health care system (ie, municipalities, hospitals, rehabilitation institutions) gave feedback on the PICO questions and the final recommendations. Any potential conflicts of interests were declared before initiating the work and can be accessed online in Danish. 22 The draft of the clinical guideline was peer reviewed by 2 external reviewers as well as in a public hearing.

Seven clinical questions regarding the outcomes of the following nonpharmacological interventions were selected: (1) early information and advice to prevent PPCS, (2) graded physical exercise, (3) vestibular rehabilitation to treat persistent vestibular dysfunction, (4) manual treatment of the neck and back, (5) oculomotor vision treatment to treat persistent visual symptoms, (6) psychological treatment and (7) interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitative treatment. For early information and advice, such information had to be provided systematically and start within 4 weeks after injury. In the remaining clinical questions, patients had to be diagnosed with concussion or mTBI and experience symptoms that persisted for more than 4 weeks after injury. The interventions were compared with either no intervention or treatment as usual (eTable 4 in the Supplement).

To be included, studies had to (1) be intervention studies within the areas of the predefined clinical questions, (2) include a control group, and (3) focus on symptoms after concussion or mTBI. Studies including both concussion or mTBI and moderate to severe TBI were only included if it was possible to extract separate data for the concussion/mTBI population. Participants had to be aged 18 years or older and be diagnosed with concussion or mTBI. Consent (written or oral) from the participants was not obtained, as the study only used data from previously published studies. Studies including adolescents were included only if adolescent participants represented a minority of the study sample. Data on patient race and ethnicity were not collected because we did not assume that these variables would have a significant effect on the outcomes in the included studies. Collection of data on race and ethnicity was not required by the funding agency.

For each clinical question, 2 primary outcomes were chosen alongside a number of secondary outcomes. The primary outcome for the majority of clinical questions was the collective burden of postconcussion symptoms supplemented by another primary outcome closely reflecting the focus of the respective interventions (eg, physical functioning after graded physical exercise, pain after manual treatment of neck and back, emotional symptoms after psychological treatment). The secondary outcomes also varied across the clinical questions and included pain, physical functioning, emotional symptoms, behavioral response, quality of life, negative effect on prognosis, and return to daily activities. A time frame for each outcome was chosen a priori. A complete

overview of outcomes and time frames is available in eTable 2 in the Supplement .

A systematic literature search was conducted from November 22, 2019, to March 3, 2020, in Embase, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, PEDro, OTseeker, and Cochrane Reviews (via MEDLINE and Embase) from the earliest possible publication year and up to March 3, 2020. The search strategy was subdivided into searches for relevant randomized clinical trials (RCTs) or observational studies with a control group included in either the guidelines or the systematic reviews. Finally, a search was performed to identify individual studies not included in existing guidelines or systematic reviews and for interventions for which no reviews were available. When relevant, the search date for individual studies was limited to the dates of the latest search in the included reviews (eTable 1 in the Supplement).

Titles and abstracts of potential studies were screened by the lead reviewer (H.J.G.). Subsequently, the full text of potential studies was screened by the lead reviewer (H.J.G.) and members of the working group (J.H., M.H., I.N., E.T.N-S., L.L.P., H.W.S., M.M.T., and B.Z.) for eligibility. Disagreement was discussed by consultation with a third reviewer (H.M.R.) and/or the methodologist (H.E.C.). The selection process was aided by using Covidence software, a web-based tool. 23 The review authors were not blinded to the journal titles, study authors, study institutions, or the year of publication. A PRISMA flowchart was created to document the number of included and excluded studies identified during the search process (eFigure 1 in the Supplement).

Information extracted included the population demographic and baseline characteristics, details on intervention and control treatments, study design, outcomes, and time of measurement. The lead reviewer and methodologist independently extracted the data and assessed the risk of bias for all RCTs using the Cochrane risk of bias tool. 24 If information was missing or unclear, this was noted without making any assumptions regarding the cause. If clinical guidelines or systematic reviews were identified, their quality was evaluated using the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (AGREE-II) 25 or Assessment of Multiple Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) tools. 26 Any discrepancies were identified and resolved through discussion with a third reviewer.

Meta-analyses were performed to give a summary measure of the outcome if data across trials for a given outcome were comparable. Analyses were performed in the program RevMan5, version 5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration). If data were inadequate for inclusion in a meta-analysis, the study results were narratively described. For dichotomous outcomes, the relative risk including the 95% CI was calculated. For continuous outcomes, effect size was calculated using standardized mean difference including the 95% CI if different measurement scales were used. If the continuous outcomes across studies were measured using the same measurement scale, effect size was assessed as mean difference. Random-effect models were used to calculate pooled estimates of effects. Statistical heterogeneity was quantified using the I^2 statistic, 27 with an I^2 value greater than 50% considered to be substantial heterogeneity. A forest plot was provided for each meta-analysis (eFigure 2 in the Supplement).

The outcome measures were combined into a single summary of findings table. The certainty of evidence was then determined according to the GRADE, 28 resulting in 4 possible ratings: high, moderate, low, and very low (Table 1). If applicable, downgrading was done for each outcome by evaluating the extent of risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision, and publication bias. 28 , 29 The overall quality of evidence for each clinical question was based on the lowest rating for the primary outcome.

This table was adapted from Balshem et al. 28

Either a strong or weak recommendation in favor of or against an intervention was made based on a combined assessment of the strength of the available evidence, assumed patient preferences, and weighting of benefits and harms. A good clinical practice recommendation was made in case there was no available evidence, ie, RCTs or observational studies with a control group (Table 2).

This table was adapted from the Danish Health Authority.

A total of 13 097 articles were identified; of those, 109 were excluded as duplicates. Among the remaining 12 988 articles, titles and abstracts were screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria. A total of 297 articles received a full-text review, and 11 relevant systematic reviews 31 , 32 , 33 , 34 , 35 , 36 , 37 , 38 , 39 , 40 , 41 were identified for 5 of the clinical questions. Only 1 systematic review 33 matched our clinical question (graded physical exercise) and was of sufficient methodological quality (eTable 3 in the Supplement); however, it did not contribute any primary study matching our inclusion and exclusion criteria. The search date for individual studies for this question was then limited to the date of the latest search in the included systematic review, 33 and here we identified 2 relevant RCTs. 42 , 43 Furthermore, 1 relevant RCT 44 was identified in a systematic review for manual treatment of neck and back. A total of 19 individual RCTs 42 , 43 , 44 , 45 , 46 , 47 , 48 , 49 , 50 , 51 , 52 , 53 , 54 , 55 , 56 , 57 , 58 , 59 , 60 comprising 2007 participants (1064 women [53.0%]; 943 men [47.0%]) were identified for 6 of the clinical questions. Nine 43 , 45 , 46 , 47 , 49 , 52 , 53 , 55 , 60 of these included a minority of adolescents in the sample. No evidence was identified for the effect of oculomotor vision treatment. Thus, all recommendations were based exclusively on primary studies. For characteristics of the included studies, see eTable 5 in the Supplement . For flowcharts of included literature, see eFigure 1 in the Supplement .

The available evidence was limited for all interventions, ranging from low to very low mainly owing to risk of bias, imprecision (few studies identified or few patients included), and indirectness (patients aged <18 years included) (Table 3). For results of meta-analyses, see eFigure 2 in the Supplement . For summary of findings table, including the rating of the evidence and risk of bias assessment, see eTable 4 in the Supplement . For recommendations including the supporting evidence, see Table 4 .

Abbreviation: PICO, Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome.

Definitions of certainty of evidence and recommendations are noted in Tables 1 and 2 .

The collective burden of postconcussion symptoms assessed a minimum of 2 wk after completed intervention

Emotional symptoms assessed a minimum of 1 mo after completed intervention

Bell et al, 45 Heskestad et al, 46 Matuseviciene et al, 47 Mittenberg et al, 48 Ponsford et al, 49 Suffoletto et al, 50 and Varner et al 51

The intervention was associated with a positive effect on the overall symptom burden 2 wk after completion. Furthermore, intervention reduced the number of patients who subsequently experienced memory problems and the number of patients in which leisure and working life was affected. There were no reported serious adverse effects; however, this was not systematically assessed. The certainty of evidence was very low due to risk of bias, indirectness, and imprecision. It was assumed that there was no substantial variability in terms of patient preferences and that the majority of patients would want the intervention. Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a weak recommendation was given for the use of systematic information and advice.

The collective burden of postconcussion symptoms assessed at the end of completed intervention

Physical functioning assessed at the end of completed intervention

The intervention in addition to other treatment was associated with a positive effect on both the overall burden of symptoms, the level of physical functioning, behavioral reactions, emotional

symptoms, quality of life, and the general satisfaction with the current work situation. There were no reported serious adverse events; however, this was not systematically assessed. The certainty of the evidence was very low due to serious risk of bias, indirectness, and imprecision. It was assumed that there was no substantial variability in terms of patient preferences and that the majority of patients would want the intervention. Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a weak recommendation was given for the use of graded physical exercise.

The collective burden of postconcussion symptoms assessed at the end of completed intervention

Vestibular dysfunction assessed at the end of completed intervention

Kleffelgaard et al 53 and Schneider et al 52

The intervention was associated with a positive effect on the level of physical functioning as well as the number of patients considered ready to return to sport after completed intervention. No serious adverse events were reported; however, this was not systematically assessed. The certainty of the evidence was very low due to risk of bias, indirectness, and imprecision. It was assumed that there was no substantial variability in terms of patient preferences and that the majority of patients would want the intervention. Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a weak recommendation was given for the use of vestibular rehabilitation.

Physical functioning assessed at the end of completed intervention

Pain assessed at the end of completed intervention

The intervention was associated with a positive effect on pain as well as the number of patients considered ready to return to sport after completed intervention. No serious adverse events were reported; however, this was not systematically assessed. The certainty of the evidence was very low due to risk of bias, indirectness, and imprecision. It is expected that the intervention will include differences in patient preferences, as some patient would want the treatment whereas others would not. Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a weak recommendation was given for the use of spinal manual therapy.

Oculomotor dysfunction assessed at the end of completed intervention

Visual functioning assessed at the end of completed intervention

Clinical experience shows that oculomotor visual treatment improves the visual symptoms as well as reduces other symptoms such as headache and tiredness in patients with persistent symptoms after concussion. In addition, there is clinical consensus that oculomotor visual therapy has a positive effect on the number of patients returning to work. Because there were no relevant trials identified, this recommendation is largely based on this clinical experience. There are, however, peer-reviewed studies without a control group, showing a positive effect of oculomotor visual therapy in patients with concussion (Gallaway et al 61 ; Thiagarajan and Cuiffreda 62 ; Thiagarajan et al 63 ; Thiagarajan and Cuiffreda 64). Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a good clinical practice statement was given for the use of visual therapy.

The collective burden of postconcussion symptoms assessed after completed intervention

Emotional symptoms assessed a minimum of 3 mo after completed intervention

Caplain et al, 54 Elgmark-Andersson et al, 55 Kjeldgaard et al, 56 Potter et al, 57 Rytter et al, 42 Silverberg et al, 58 Thastum et al, 43 Tiersky et al, 59 and Vikane et al 60

The intervention was associated with a positive effect on the overall burden of symptoms after the completion of the intervention as well as at longest follow-up. A positive effect was also seen with respect to emotional symptoms and quality of life at the longest follow-up. No serious adverse events were reported; however, this was not systematically assessed. The overall certainty of evidence was low due to risk of bias and indirectness. It is expected that the intervention will include differences in patient preferences, as some patient would want the treatment whereas others would not. Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a weak recommendation was given for the use of psychological treatment.

The collective burden of postconcussion symptoms assessed after completed intervention

Return to daily activities assessed a minimum of 3 month after completed intervention

Rytter et al, 42 Thastum et al, 43 and Vikane et al 60

The intervention was associated with a positive effect on the overall burden of symptoms, the level of physical functioning, emotional symptoms, as well as on quality of life and the general satisfaction with work life. No serious adverse events were reported; however, this was not systematically assessed. The overall certainty of evidence was low due to risk of bias and indirectness. It was assumed that there was no substantial variability in terms of patient preferences and that the majority of patients would want the intervention. Based on a collective assessment of these findings, a weak recommendation was given for the use of interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitative treatment

A weak recommendation was given for systematically providing early information and advice, the use of graded physical exercise, the use of vestibular rehabilitation, the use of manual treatment of neck and back, psychological treatment, and interdisciplinary rehabilitative treatment. No relevant evidence was identified for oculomotor vision treatment, so the panel provided a good clinical practice recommendation based on consensus (Tables 3 and 4).

This guideline considered 7 clinical questions regarding nonpharmacological management of PPCS. Based on very low to low certainty of evidence, the guideline panel provided weak recommendations for early information and advice, graded physical exercise, vestibular rehabilitation for persistent vestibular dysfunction, manual treatment of the neck and back, psychological treatment, and interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitative treatment. A consensus-based recommendation was provided for oculomotor vision treatment to treat persistent visual symptoms. The guideline panel concluded that intensified research into all intervention types is needed.

Given that concussion or mTBI can be associated with persistent symptoms and disability, 65 , 66 , 67 , 68 there has been a shift from passively awaiting symptom remission to recommending active management when symptoms persist. Thus, our recommendations align with the Synthesis of Practice Guidelines from the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine's Mild TBI task force 4 ; the American Physical Therapy Association's (APTA) guideline for physical therapy evaluation and treatment after concussion or mild TBI 69 ; the third edition of the Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation's (ONF) Guideline for concussion or mild TBI and persistent symptoms 5 ; and the latest update on the consensus statement published by the Concussion in Sports Group (CISG). 70 However, compared with our guideline, these guidelines have different scopes and include pediatric and adolescent populations. Furthermore, although these guidelines apply a systematic approach to guideline generation, they do not apply the GRADE approach.

Early information and advice was recommended based on 7 RCTs, 45 , 46 , 47 , 48 , 49 , 50 , 51 of which 6 were included in the meta-analysis. The study by Ponsford et al 49 was not included because it was presented as a short report. Collectively, the studies concluded that information should be tailored to individual patient needs and that information and advice are particularly

beneficial if provided over a longer period of time. This recommendation aligns with the Synthesis of Practice Guidelines 4 as well as with the ONF 5 and APTA 69 guidelines that give a grade A, or strong, recommendation. The CISG guideline 70 does not address this question. Our guideline provides a weak recommendation based on GRADE owing to possible risks of bias in the included studies as well as indirectness.

Graded physical exercise was recommended based on 2 RCTs. 42 , 43 In both, the intervention was part of interdisciplinary rehabilitation programs with several interventions applied in parallel. Critical outcomes included physical functioning, which presumably reflected the effect of graded physical exercise. Both the APTA 69 and the CISG guideline 70 recommend graded physical exercise as a treatment of autonomic instability or physical deconditioning, with the APTA 69 indicating level A, or strong evidence. The ONF guideline 5 recommends exercise below symptom threshold in management of posttraumatic fatigue (grade C). The Synthesis of Practice Guidelines 4 advocates exercise in general. Our guideline arrived at a weak recommendation based on GRADE.

Vestibular rehabilitation was recommended based on 2 RCTs. 52 , 53 In both, participants also received exercise and manual treatment of the cervical and thoracic spine. The ONF 5 and APTA guidelines 69 give a grade A, or strong, recommendation for dealing with benign paroxysmal positional vertigo. Furthermore, the ONF guideline gives a grade A recommendation for treatment of unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction, whereas the APTA guideline indicates level B, or moderate evidence, for vestibular rehabilitation in conjunction with oculomotor rehabilitation. The ONF guideline gives a grade C consensus-based recommendation, and APTA indicates level C, or weak evidence, for treatment of functional balance impairment. The CISG guideline 70 recommends a targeted physical therapy program for patients with vestibular dysfunction without further specification.

Manual treatment of the neck and back, ie, mobilization and/or manipulation, is recommended based on 2 small RCTs, 44 , 52 of which only one 52 could be included in the meta-analysis owing to poor reporting in the other. 44 The 2 studies indicate possible beneficial outcomes regarding pain and return to sport, resulting in a weak recommendation. The Synthesis of Practice Guidelines 4 and the ONF guideline 5 do not include manual treatment of the neck and back. The APTA guideline recommends that practitioners address cervical and thoracic spine dysfunction, including manual treatment based on level B, or moderate, evidence. 69 The CISG guideline recommends targeted physical therapy in patients with cervical dysfunction without further details. 70

Oculomotor vision treatment is recommended based on consensus in the guideline panel. Low-quality studies without appropriate control groups, however, do exist and report positive effects. 61 , 62 , 63 , 64 The ONF guideline 5 gives grade C, or a consensus-based recommendation, for vision assessment and treatment, whereas the APTA guideline 69 indicates level B, or moderate, evidence. However, this guideline deals with oculomotor rehabilitation in combination with vestibular treatment, which may explain the discrepancy. The CISG guideline 70 does not address this question.

Psychological treatment is recommended based on 9 RCTs, 42 , 43 , 54 , 55 , 56 , 57 , 58 , 59 , 60 of which 8 were included in the meta-analysis. 42 , 43 , 54 , 55 , 56 , 57 , 58 , 59 , 60 Tiersky et al 59 was not included owing to insufficient reporting. Psychological interventions may be applied for multiple reasons, eg, to treat mental health disorders (primarily anxiety and depression), to address cognitive symptoms, and/or to change maladaptive behavioral strategies. Accordingly, the RCTs applied a combination of different approaches, such as psychoeducation, counseling, cognitive behavioral therapy, computer-based cognitive remediation training, and energy management. The Synthesis of Practice Guidelines 4 emphasizes primarily an active approach to mental health disorders, with fast initiation of cognitive behavioral therapy and/or pharmacotherapy. The ONF guideline 5 gives a grade B recommendation regarding a routine screen for depression and anxiety followed by a specialist treatment, and a grade B recommendation is given for cognitive behavioral therapy as a supplementary intervention for patients with psychological risk factors. The ONF

guideline 5 gives a grade A recommendation for neuropsychological assessment, grade B recommendation for treatment of persistent cognitive difficulties, and grade C recommendation for stress management techniques. The CISG guideline 70 recommends cognitive behavioral therapy for persistent mood disorders or behavioral issues.

Interdisciplinary coordinated rehabilitation was recommended based on 3 RCTs. 42 , 43 , 60 Their results indicate its usefulness regarding the primary outcome (collective burden of symptoms) as well as several secondary outcomes (Table 4). The Synthesis of Practice Guidelines 4 highlights the need for individually tailored interdisciplinary treatment. The ONF guideline 5 gives a grade A recommendation for evidence-based neurorehabilitation for patients with cognitive impairment and a grade B recommendation for interdisciplinary vocational assessment for those who do not resume preinjury work duties. The CISG guideline 70 recommends a psychological, cervical, and vestibular rehabilitation performed in a collaborative manner based on detailed assessment of physical and psychosocial factors.

Even though the development of the guideline followed a rigorous methodology, our confidence in the recommendations is low or very low. This low confidence is due to scarcity and inadequacies of the underlying literature wherein studies have risk of bias due to investigating the effects of experiential interventions with difficulties related to blinding of participants and therapists. Moreover, in clinic, interventions were often used in combination as part of a multimodal approach with multiple interventions given in parallel. 10 , 42

The weak recommendations call for shared decision-making, involving a discussion with the patient. People with PPCS represent a heterogeneous group regarding both their trauma-induced consequences and their premorbid functioning levels, coping strategies, and life situation in general. 71

Expert groups have used the lack of evidence for benefit or harm for a particular intervention as an argument for not putting forward a recommendation. 72 Such positions have, however, been met with frustration by health care professionals who look to expert groups for guidance. 73 Fortunately, the GRADE approach accommodates these circumstances because it provides interpretations for patients, clinicians, and policy makers. 30 Faced with either no or weak evidence, it is important that patients know that their particular preference among the various treatments should guide choice of intervention; clinicians must recognize that different interventions may be appropriate for different patients and help each patient to arrive at a management decision consistent with their values. Policy makers must involve relevant professional groups and stakeholders when determining design-of-care pathways. 30 Importantly, guideline panels should not refrain from making recommendations because individual patients and clinicians will make different choices when faced with a weak recommendation. In fact, this is to be expected. Consequently, the GRADE Working Group encourages panels to make recommendations whenever possible whether they are based on solid evidence or not. 30

Strengths of this guideline include the broad scope informed by a need for direction in clinical practice. The guideline panel adhered rigorously to the GRADE methodology. The group was composed of experienced academics and clinicians within this research area, an expert librarian, and a skilled methodologist. The process was overseen by the Danish Health Authority, and the final product was reviewed by 2 external experts who provided valuable input to the final draft. Finally, the interdisciplinary reference group and the public hearing strengthened the outreach to clinical practice and health administration.

Study limitations include the fact that the guideline panel itself selected the interventions in focus. Also, we focused on adults, so the recommendations do not cover adolescents, leading to exclusion of several studies. 74 , 75 Last, RCTs are not well suited to address potential harms associated with treatments; however, the guideline panel considered the included interventions to be associated with low frequency of harmful consequences.

There is an urgent need for more methodologically robust research evaluating the outcomes of nonpharmacological treatments for persistent symptoms after concussion or mTBI. Given the best available evidence to date, and based on the findings of this systematic review and meta-analysis, active management and treatment of PPCS is recommended, both through individual disciplines targeting specific problems and through interdisciplinary rehabilitation. There was agreement on this recommendation across the available guidelines, including the one presented here, regardless of their applied methodology.

Skandsen T , Einarsen CE , Normann I , . The epidemiology of mild traumatic brain injury: the Trondheim MTBI follow-up study . *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* . 2018 ; 26 (1): 34 . doi: 10.1186/s13049-018-0495-0 29703222 PMC5921265 2 Pinner M , Børgensen SE , Jensen R , Birket-Smith M , Gade A , Riis JO . Consensus Report on Commotio Cerebri (Concussion) . *Konsensusrapport Om Commotio Cerebri (Hjernerystelse)* . Videnscenter for Hjerneskaade ; 2003 : 1 - 82 . 3 Cassidy JD , Carroll LJ , Peloso PM , ; WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury . Incidence, risk factors and prevention of mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury . *J Rehabil Med* . 2004 ; 43 (suppl): 28 - 60 . doi: 10.1080/16501960410023732 15083870 4 Silverberg ND , Iaccarino MA , Panenka WJ , ; American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine Brain Injury Interdisciplinary Special Interest Group Mild TBI Task Force . Management of concussion and mild traumatic brain injury: a synthesis of practice guidelines . *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* . 2020 ; 101 (2): 382 - 393 . doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2019.10.179 31654620 5 Marshall S , Bayley MT , McCullagh S , . Guideline for Concussion/Mild Traumatic Brain Injury & Prolonged Symptoms. 3rd ed. Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation; 2018 . 6 Sussman ES , Pendharkar AV , Ho AL , Ghajar J . Mild traumatic brain injury and concussion: terminology and classification . *Handb Clin Neurol* . 2018 ; 158 : 21 - 24 . doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-63954-7.00003-3 30482349 7 Carroll LJ , Cassidy JD , Holm L , Kraus J , Coronado VG ; WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury . Methodological issues and research recommendations for mild traumatic brain injury: the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury . *J Rehabil Med* . 2004 ; 43 (suppl): 113 - 125 . doi: 10.1080/16501960410023877 15083875 8 van der Naalt J , Timmerman ME , de Koning ME , . Early predictors of outcome after mild traumatic brain injury (UPFRONT): an observational cohort study . *Lancet Neurol* . 2017 ; 16 (7): 532 - 540 . doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30117-5 28653646 9 Carroll LJ , Cassidy JD , Cancelliere C , . Systematic review of the prognosis after mild traumatic brain injury in adults: cognitive, psychiatric, and mortality outcomes: results of the International Collaboration on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Prognosis . *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* . 2014 ; 95 (3)(suppl): S152 - S173 . doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2013.08.300 24581903 10 Hartvigsen J , Boyle E , Cassidy JD , Carroll LJ . Mild traumatic brain injury after motor vehicle collisions: what are the symptoms and who treats them? a population-based 1-year inception cohort study . *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* . 2014 ; 95 (3)(suppl): S286 - S294 . doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2013.07.029 24581914 11 World Health Organization . The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines. WHO; 1992 . 12 Bellner J , Jensen SM , Lexell J , Romner B . Diagnostic criteria and the use of ICD-10 codes to define and classify minor head injury . *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* . 2003 ; 74 (3): 351 - 352 . doi: 10.1136/jnnp.74.3.351 12588924 PMC1738308 13 Crossen MC , van der Naalt J , Spikman JM , . Prediction of persistent post-concussion symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury . *J Neurotrauma* . 2018 ; 35 (22): 2691 - 2698 . doi: 10.1089/neu.2017.5486 29690799 14 Ponsford J , Cameron P , Fitzgerald M , Grant M , Mikocka-Walus A , Schönberger M . Predictors of postconcussive symptoms 3 months after mild traumatic brain injury . *Neuropsychology* . 2012 ; 26 (3): 304 - 313 . doi: 10.1037/a0027888 22468823 15 Voormolen DC , Haagsma JA , Polinder S , . Post-concussion symptoms in complicated vs. uncomplicated mild traumatic brain injury patients at three and six months post-injury: results from the CENTER-TBI Study . *J Clin Med* . 2019 ; 8 (11): E1921 . doi: 10.3390/jcm8111921 31717436 PMC6912209 16 Belanger HG , Barwick FH , Kip KE , Kretzmer T , Vanderploeg RD . Postconcussive symptom complaints and potentially malleable positive predictors . *Clin Neuropsychol* . 2013 ; 27 (3): 343 - 355 . doi: 10.1080/13854046.2013.774438 23458513 17 Snell DL , Martin R , Surgenor LJ , Siegert RJ , Hay-Smith EJC . What's wrong with me? seeking a coherent understanding of recovery after mild traumatic brain injury . *Disabil Rehabil* . 2017 ; 39 (19): 1968 - 1975 . doi:

10.1080/09638288.2016.1213895 27626509 18 Guyatt G , Oxman AD , Akl EA , . GRADE guidelines: 1. introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables . J Clin Epidemiol . 2011 ; 64 (4) : 383 - 394 . doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.026 21195583 19 Guyatt GH , Oxman AD , Kunz R , . GRADE guidelines: 2. Framing the question and deciding on important outcomes . J Clin Epidemiol . 2011 ; 64 (4) : 395 - 400 . doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.012 21194891 20 Rytter HM , Graff HJ , Henriksen HK , . National clinical guideline for nonpharmacological treatment of prolonged symptoms after concussion. National klinisk retningslinje for non-farmakologisk behandling af længerevarende symptomer efter hjernerystelse. Accessed August 31, 2021. https://files.magicapp.org/guideline/adaee090-13ea-4f32-bcd6-c1ddb8bfe064/published_guideline_4774-0_5.pdf 21 Rytter HM , Graff HJ , Henriksen H , . Non-pharmacological treatments for persistent symptoms after concussion. April 28, 2020. Accessed June 16, 2021. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospetro/display_record.php?ID=CRD42020165194 22 Dansk Center for Hjernerystelse . The national clinical guidelines for concussion. National klinisk retningslinje for hjernerystelse. Accessed August 31, 2021. <https://dcfh.dk/national-klinisk-retningslinje/> 23 Covidence. Better systematic review management. Accessed August 31, 2021. <https://www.covidence.org/home> 24 Cumpston M , Li T , Page MJ , . Updated guidance for trusted systematic reviews: a new edition of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions . Cochrane Database Syst Rev . 2019 ; 10 : ED000142 . doi: 10.1002/14651858.ED000142 31643080 PMC10284251 25 Brouwers MC , Kho ME , Browman GP , ; AGREE Next Steps Consortium . AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care . CMAJ . 2010 ; 182 (18) : E839 - E842 . doi: 10.1503/cmaj.090449 20603348 PMC3001530 26 Shea BJ , Grimshaw JM , Wells GA , . Development of AMSTAR: a measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews . BMC Med Res Methodol . 2007 ; 7 : 10 . doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-7-10 17302989 PMC1810543 27 Higgins JP , Thompson SG . Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis . Stat Med . 2002 ; 21 (11) : 1539 - 1558 . doi: 10.1002/sim.1186 12111919 28 Balshem H , Helfand M , Schünemann HJ , . GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence . J Clin Epidemiol . 2011 ; 64 (4) : 401 - 406 . doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.015 21208779 29 Guyatt GH , Oxman AD , Vist G , . GRADE guidelines: 4. Rating the quality of evidence—study limitations (risk of bias) . J Clin Epidemiol . 2011 ; 64 (4) : 407 - 415 . doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.07.017 21247734 30 Guyatt GH , Oxman AD , Kunz R , ; GRADE Working Group . Going from evidence to recommendations . BMJ . 2008 ; 336 (7652) : 1049 - 1051 . doi: 10.1136/bmj.39493.646875.AE 18467413 PMC2376019 31 Eliyahu L , Kirkland S , Campbell S , Rowe BH . The effectiveness of early educational interventions in the emergency department to reduce incidence or severity of postconcussion syndrome following a concussion: a systematic review . Acad Emerg Med . 2016 ; 23 (5) : 531 - 542 . doi: 10.1111/acem.12924 26825870 32 Gravel J , D'Angelo A , Carrière B , . Interventions provided in the acute phase for mild traumatic brain injury: a systematic review . Syst Rev . 2013 ; 2 : 63 . doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-2-63 23924958 PMC3750385 33 Lal A , Kolakowsky-Hayner SA , Ghajar J , Balamane M . The effect of physical exercise after a concussion: a systematic review and meta-analysis . Am J Sports Med . 2018 ; 46 (3) : 743 - 752 . doi: 10.1177/0363546517706137 28570092 34 Quatman-Yates C , Cupp A , Gunsch C , Haley T , Vaculik S , Kujawa D . Physical rehabilitation interventions for post-mTBI symptoms lasting greater than 2 weeks: systematic review . Phys Ther . 2016 ; 96 (11) : 1753 - 1763 . doi: 10.2522/ptj.20150557 27197826 35 Kinne BL , Bott JL , Cron NM , Iaquaniello RL . Effectiveness of vestibular rehabilitation on concussion-induced vertigo: a systematic review . Phys Ther Rev . 2018 ; 23 (6) : 338 - 347 . doi: 10.1080/10833196.2018.1517032 36 Murray DA , Meldrum D , Lennon O . Can vestibular rehabilitation exercises help patients with concussion? a systematic review of efficacy, prescription and progression patterns . Br J Sports Med . 2017 ; 51 (5) : 442 - 451 . doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096081 27655831 37 Schneider KJ , Leddy JJ , Guskiewicz KM , . Rest and treatment/rehabilitation following sport-related concussion: a systematic review . Br J Sports Med . 2017 ; 51 (12) : 930 - 934 . doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-097475 28341726 38 Al Sayegh A , Sandford D , Carson AJ . Psychological approaches to treatment of postconcussion syndrome: a systematic review . J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry . 2010 ; 81 (10) : 1128 - 1134 . doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2008.170092 20802219 39 Bergersen K , Halvorsen JO , Tryti EA , Taylor SI , Olsen A . A systematic literature review of psychotherapeutic treatment of prolonged symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury . Brain Inj . 2017 ; 31 (3) : 279 - 289 . doi: 10.1080/02699052.2016.1255779

28125305 40 Snell DL , Surgenor LJ , Hay-Smith EJC , Siegert RJ . A systematic review of psychological treatments for mild traumatic brain injury: an update on the evidence . *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* . 2009 ; 31 (1) : 20 - 38 . doi: 10.1080/13803390801978849 18608646 41 Sullivan KA , Blaine H , Kaye SA , Theadom A , Haden C , Smith SS . A systematic review of psychological interventions for sleep and fatigue after mild traumatic brain injury . *J Neurotrauma* . 2018 ; 35 (2) : 195 - 209 . doi: 10.1089/neu.2016.4958 28895488 42 Rytter HM , Westenbaek K , Henriksen H , Christiansen P , Humle F . Specialized interdisciplinary rehabilitation reduces persistent post-concussive symptoms: a randomized clinical trial . *Brain Inj* . 2019 ; 33 (3) : 266 - 281 . doi: 10.1080/02699052.2018.1552022 30500267 43 Thastum MM , Rask CU , Næss-Schmidt ET , . Novel interdisciplinary intervention, GAIN, vs. enhanced usual care to reduce high levels of post-concussion symptoms in adolescents and young adults 2-6 months post-injury: a randomised trial . *EClinicalMedicine* . 2019 ; 17 : 100214 . doi: 10.1016/j.eclim.2019.11.007 31891145 PMC6933237 44 Jensen OK , Nielsen FF , Vosmar L . An open study comparing manual therapy with the use of cold packs in the treatment of post-traumatic headache . *Cephalalgia* . 1990 ; 10 (5) : 241 - 250 . doi: 10.1046/j.1468-2982.1990.1005241.x 2272094 45 Bell KR , Hoffman JM , Temkin NR , . The effect of telephone counselling on reducing post-traumatic symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury: a randomised trial . *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* . 2008 ; 79 (11) : 1275 - 1281 . doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2007.141762 18469027 46 Heskestad B , Waterloo K , Baardsen R , Helseth E , Romner B , Ingebrigtsen T . No impact of early intervention on late outcome after minimal, mild and moderate head injury . *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med* . 2010 ; 18 : 10 . doi: 10.1186/1757-7241-18-10 20181239 PMC2844351 47 Matuskeviciene G , Eriksson G , DeBoussard CN . No effect of an early intervention after mild traumatic brain injury on activity and participation: a randomized controlled trial . *J Rehabil Med* . 2016 ; 48 (1) : 19 - 26 . doi: 10.2340/16501977-2025 26536843 48 Mittenberg W , Tremont G , Zielinski RE , Fichera S , Rayls KR . Cognitive-behavioral prevention of postconcussion syndrome . *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* . 1996 ; 11 (2) : 139 - 145 . doi: 10.1093/arclin/11.2.139 14588914 49 Ponsford J , Willmott C , Rothwell A , . Impact of early intervention on outcome following mild head injury in adults . *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* . 2002 ; 73 (3) : 330 - 332 . doi: 10.1136/jnnp.73.3.330 12185174 PMC1738009 50 Suffoletto B , Wagner AK , Arenth PM , . Mobile phone text messaging to assess symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury and provide self-care support: a pilot study . *J Head Trauma Rehabil* . 2013 ; 28 (4) : 302 - 312 . doi: 10.1097/HTR.0b013e3182847468 23474882 51 Varner CE , McLeod S , Nahiddi N , Loughheed RE , Dear TE , Borgundvaag B . Cognitive rest and graduated return to usual activities versus usual care for mild traumatic brain injury: a randomized controlled trial of emergency department discharge instructions . *Acad Emerg Med* . 2017 ; 24 (1) : 75 - 82 . doi: 10.1111/acem.13073 27792852 52 Schneider KJ , Meeuwisse WH , Nettel-Aguirre A , . Cervicovestibular rehabilitation in sport-related concussion: a randomised controlled trial . *Br J Sports Med* . 2014 ; 48 (17) : 1294 - 1298 . doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-093267 24855132 53 Kleffeltgaard I , Soberg HL , Tamber AL , . The effects of vestibular rehabilitation on dizziness and balance problems in patients after traumatic brain injury: a randomized controlled trial . *Clin Rehabil* . 2019 ; 33 (1) : 74 - 84 . doi: 10.1177/0269215518791274 30056743 54 Caplain S , Chenuc G , Blanco S , Marque S , Aghakhani N . Efficacy of psychoeducation and cognitive rehabilitation after mild traumatic brain injury for preventing post-concussional syndrome in individuals with high risk of poor prognosis: a randomized clinical trial . *Front Neurol* . 2019 ; 10 : 929 . doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00929 31551902 PMC6737662 55 Elgmark Andersson E , Emanuelson I , Björklund R , Stålhammar DA . Mild traumatic brain injuries: the impact of early intervention on late sequelae. a randomized controlled trial . *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* . 2007 ; 149 (2) : 151 - 159 . doi: 10.1007/s00701-006-1082-0 17252176 56 Kjeldgaard D , Forchhammer HB , Teasdale TW , Jensen RH . Cognitive behavioural treatment for the chronic post-traumatic headache patient: a randomized controlled trial . *J Headache Pain* . 2014 ; 15 : 81 . doi: 10.1186/1129-2377-15-81 25441170 PMC4266545 57 Potter SD , Brown RG , Fleminger S . Randomised, waiting list controlled trial of cognitive-behavioural therapy for persistent postconcussional symptoms after predominantly mild-moderate traumatic brain injury . *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* . 2016 ; 87 (10) : 1075 - 1083 . doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2015-312838 27496149 58 Silverberg ND , Hallam BJ , Rose A , . Cognitive-behavioral prevention of postconcussion syndrome in at-risk patients: a pilot randomized controlled trial . *J Head Trauma Rehabil* . 2013 ; 28 (4) : 313 - 322 . doi: 10.1097/HTR.0b013e3182915cb5 23640544 59 Tiersky LA , Anselmi V , Johnston MV , . A trial of neuropsychologic rehabilitation in mild-spectrum traumatic brain injury . *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* .

2005 ; 86 (8) : 1565 - 1574 . doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2005.03.013 16084809 60 Vikane E , Hellstrøm T , Røe C , Bautz-Holter E , Aßmus J , Skouen JS . Multidisciplinary outpatient treatment in patients with mild traumatic brain injury: a randomised controlled intervention study . *Brain Inj* . 2017 ; 31 (4) : 475 - 484 . doi: 10.1080/02699052.2017.1280852 28296510 61 Gallaway M , Scheiman M , Mitchell GL . Vision therapy for post-concussion vision disorders . *Optom Vis Sci* . 2017 ; 94 (1) : 68 - 73 . doi: 10.1097/OPX.0000000000000935 27505624 62 Thiagarajan P , Ciuffreda KJ . Effect of oculomotor rehabilitation on accommodative responsivity in mild traumatic brain injury . *J Rehabil Res Dev* . 2014 ; 51 (2) : 175 - 191 . doi: 10.1682/JRRD.2013.01.0027 24933717 63 Thiagarajan P , Ciuffreda KJ , Capo-Aponte JE , Ludlam DP , Kapoor N . Oculomotor neurorehabilitation for reading in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): an integrative approach . *NeuroRehabilitation* . 2014 ; 34 (1) : 129 - 146 . doi: 10.3233/NRE-131025 24284470 64 Thiagarajan P , Ciuffreda KJ . Versional eye tracking in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): effects of oculomotor training (OMT) . *Brain Inj* . 2014 ; 28 (7) : 930 - 943 . doi: 10.3109/02699052.2014.888761 24826956 65 Graff HJ , Siersma V , Møller A , Egerod I , Rytter HM . Five-year trends in marital stability, academic achievement, and socioeconomic indicators after concussion: a national register study . *J Head Trauma Rehabil* . 2020 ; 35 (2) : E86 - E94 .doi: 10.1097/HTR.0000000000000501 31246879 66 Graff HJ , Siersma V , Møller A , . Labour market attachment after mild traumatic brain injury: nationwide cohort study with 5-year register follow-up in Denmark . *BMJ Open* . 2019 ; 9 (4) : e026104 . doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-026104 30975680 PMC6500196 67 de Koning ME , Scheenen ME , van der Horn HJ , . Non-hospitalized patients with mild traumatic brain injury: the forgotten minority . *J Neurotrauma* . 2017 ; 34 (1) : 257 - 261 . doi: 10.1089/neu.2015.4377 27029852 68 McMahon P , Hricik A , Yue JK , ; TRACK-TBI Investigators . Symptomatology and functional outcome in mild traumatic brain injury: results from the prospective TRACK-TBI study . *J Neurotrauma* . 2014 ; 31 (1) : 26 - 33 . doi: 10.1089/neu.2013.2984 23952719 PMC3880097 69 Quatman-Yates CC , Hunter-Giordano A , Shimamura KK , . Physical therapy evaluation and treatment after concussion/mild traumatic brain injury . *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* . 2020 ; 50 (4) : CPG1 - CPG73 . doi: 10.2519/jospt.2020.0301 32241234 70 McCrory P , Meeuwisse W , Dvořák J , . Consensus statement on concussion in sport-the 5th international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin, October 2016 . *Br J Sports Med* . 2017 ; 51 (11) : 838 - 847 . 28446457 10.1136/bjsports-2017-097699 71 Polinder S , Cnossen MC , Real RGL , . A multidimensional approach to post-concussion symptoms in mild traumatic brain injury . *Front Neurol* . 2018 ; 9 : 1113 . doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.01113 30619066 PMC6306025 72 US Preventive Services Task Force . Screening for skin cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement . *Ann Intern Med* . 2009 ; 150 (3) : 188 - 193 . doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-150-3-200902030-00008 19189908 73 Petitti DB , Teutsch SM , Barton MB , Sawaya GF , Ockene JK , DeWitt T ; US Preventive Services Task Force . Update on the methods of the US Preventive Services Task Force: insufficient evidence . *Ann Intern Med* . 2009 ; 150 (3) : 199 - 205 . doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-150-3-200902030-00010 19189910 74 Kurowski BG , Hugentobler J , Quatman-Yates C , . Aerobic exercise for adolescents with prolonged symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury: an exploratory randomized clinical trial . *J Head Trauma Rehabil* . 2017 ; 32 (2) : 79 - 89 . doi: 10.1097/HTR.0000000000000238 27120294 PMC5081281 75 Leddy JJ , Haider MN , Ellis MJ , . Early subthreshold aerobic exercise for sport-related concussion: a randomized clinical trial . *JAMA Pediatr* . 2019 ; 173 (4) : 319 - 325 . doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.4397 30715132 PMC6450274 Supplement. eTable 1. Reproduction of Search Strings by Search Engine for PICO 1-7

eTable 2. Clinical Questions in PICO Form, Including Specified Outcome Measures

eFigure 1. Flow Charts With Results From the Systematic Review Process for PICO 1-7

eTable 3. Evaluation of Systematic Reviews Based on AMSTAR Tool

eFigure 2. Meta-Analyses for PICO 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 per Specified Outcome Measures

eTable 4. Summary of Findings Table, Including the Rating of the Evidence and Risk of Bias Assessment for PICO 1-7

eTable 5. Characteristics of the Included Studies in the Systematic Review