

Laboratory testing of extravascular body fluids: National recommendations on behalf of the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. Part II - Synovial fluid.

Title: Laboratory testing of extravascular body fluids: National recommendations on behalf of the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. Part II - Synovial fluid.

Publication year: 2020

Journal: Biochemia medica

Authors: Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Working group for extravascular body fluid samples.

Society: Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine

Origin country: Croatia

Target countries: Croatia

DOI: 10.11613/BM.2020.030501

PMID: 32774119

Source: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32774119/>

Biochem Med (Zagreb) Biochem Med (Zagreb) 2289 biochemmed 9610305 BM Biochemia Medica 1330-0962 1846-7482 Croatian Society for Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine PMC7394252 PMC7394252.1 7394252 7394252 32774119 10.11613/BM.2020.030501 bm-30-3-030501 1 Review Laboratory testing of extravascular body fluids: National recommendations on behalf of the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. Part II – Synovial fluid Jokic Anja * 1 2 Milevoj Kopcinovic Lara 1 3 Culej Jelena 1 3 Kocijan Irena 1 4 Bozovic Marija 1 3 1 Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine, Working group for extravascular body fluid samples 2 Department of Medical Biochemistry, Haematology and Coagulation with Cytology, University Hospital for Infectious Diseases “Dr. Fran Mihaljević”, Zagreb, Croatia 3 Department of Clinical Chemistry, Sestre milosrdnice University Hospital Center, Zagreb, Croatia 4 Medical Biochemistry Laboratory, General hospital Varaždin, Varaždin, Croatia * Corresponding author: anja.jokic@gmail.com 05 8 2020 15 10 2020 30 3 362458 030501 30 10 2018 22 10 2019 05 08 2020 08 08 2020 26 03 2026 Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. 2020 Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Joint diseases are conditions with an often progressive and generally painful nature affecting the patient's quality of life and, in some cases, requiring a prompt diagnosis in order to start the treatment urgently. Synovial fluid (SF) laboratory testing is an important part of a diagnostic evaluation of patients with joint diseases. Laboratory testing of SF can provide valuable information in establishing the diagnosis, be a part of a patient's follow-up and treatment with the purpose of improving the patient's health and quality of life. Synovial fluid laboratory testing is rarely performed in Croatian medical biochemistry laboratories. Consequently, procedures for SF laboratory testing are poorly harmonized. This document is the second in the series of recommendations prepared by the members of the Working group for extravascular body fluid samples of the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. It addresses preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical issues and the clinical significance of tests used in SF laboratory testing with the aim of improving the value of SF laboratory testing in the diagnosis of joint diseases and assisting in the achievement of national harmonization. It is intended for laboratory professionals and all medical personnel involved in synovial fluid collection and testing.

Chronic rheumatic conditions comprise more than 150 different diseases (including joint disease) with an often progressive and generally painful nature. They are among the leading causes of morbidity and disability worldwide and represent an enormous burden on healthcare systems (1).

Joint disease is often accompanied by alterations in the composition and volume of synovial fluid (SF). Laboratory testing of the SF can contribute to the differential diagnosis of rheumatic conditions accompanied with joint effusions. Indications for SF laboratory testing include inflamed joints with a known or unknown aetiology, suspected acute prosthetic joint infection, as well as infection identification by microbiological analyses and diagnosing crystal-induced arthritis, etc. Distinguishing amongst non-inflammatory and inflammatory joint effusions is considered the most important clinical application of SF laboratory testing. Furthermore, the clinical significance of SF laboratory testing has been established in acute arthritis, especially in the diagnosis of septic and crystal arthritis, as well as intercritical gout. Laboratory testing of SF can provide valuable information in establishing the diagnosis of a rheumatic condition, be a part of patient's follow-up and treatment with the purpose of improving the patient's health and quality of life (2 - 5).

The Working group for extravascular body fluid samples (WG EBFS) of the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine (CSMBLM) conducted an extensive survey aimed at mapping critical areas in the preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical phases of extravascular body fluids analysis in Croatia. The main results demonstrated that SF laboratory testing is rarely performed in Croatian medical biochemistry laboratories, and since the procedures used in other investigated extravascular body fluid testing are not harmonized, the same level of harmonization might be expected in SF laboratory testing (6). These results, combined with a thorough review and critical assessment of all available scientific evidence, were used to design this document.

This recommendation is the second in the series of recommendations prepared by the members of the WG EBFS of the CSMBLM (7). It addresses the preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical issues and clinical significance of tests used in SF analysis with the aim of improving the value of SF laboratory testing in the diagnosis of joint diseases and assisting in the achievement of national harmonization of SF testing. The ultimate goal is to improve patient safety and healthcare outcomes.

This document is intended for laboratory professionals and all medical personnel involved in the synovial fluid collection and analysis. It is organized in sections referring to the preanalytical, analytical and postanalytical phases of SF laboratory testing. Specific recommendations are presented in a box at the beginning of each section, followed by explanations and data derived from relevant literature. Similar to the first WG EBFS's recommendation dedicated to serous fluids laboratory testing, cytological and microbiological testing are beyond the scope of this document since they are not performed in Croatian medical biochemistry laboratories (7).

Movable (diarthrodial) joints are enclosed in synovial cavities called synovia, which are filled with a viscous synovial fluid, produced by the ultrafiltration of plasma through the synovial membrane (and its adjacent capillaries) with the incorporation of hyaluronic acid secreted by synoviocytes. Synovial fluid glucose and uric acid concentrations resemble that of plasma, while its protein concentration is much lower compared to plasma protein concentrations. Synovial fluid also contains high amounts of locally synthesized hyaluronic acid, which contributes to the fluid's viscosity. The main functions of SF are to lessen the friction between joints facilitating their free movement, to provide nutrients to the metabolically active and vascular-deficient cartilage, and to remove waste metabolites.

Physiologically, only small volume of SF (up to about 3.5 mL) is present in the synovium. Conditions including infection, inflammation, metabolic disorders, trauma, advanced age, etc ., are associated with the accumulation of SF in the joint cavity. Laboratory testing of SF effusions is an additional and helpful tool for the diagnosis and classification of disorders affecting the articular membrane (i.e. arthritides). The SF analyses with the highest clinical value are SF crystal detection in crystal-associated synovitis (gout and/or pseudogout), and SF total and differential cell count in the confirmation of inflammatory (septic) arthropathies. Other biochemical analyses are neither specific

nor sensitive but might provide useful additional information and narrow down the differential diagnosis of conditions affecting the joint. Combining the results of SF laboratory testing with the patient's medical history and physical examination, arthritic disorders can be classified into four groups: non-inflammatory, inflammatory, septic and haemorrhagic (2 , 8 - 12). Table 1 summarizes SF laboratory testing results associated with previously mentioned joint conditions (2 , 8 , 10 - 13).

Since SF constituents mirror plasma concentrations, the recommended fasting period is necessary to equilibrate plasma and joint fluid concentrations in order to obtain reliable results of the SF analysis (8 , 11).

Improperly identified (or unlabelled) sample containers should not be accepted for analysis (15). Sample rejection should be documented by the laboratory and stated on the patient's report.

Synovial fluid is collected by arthrocentesis (i.e. aseptic needle aspiration from a joint) which is performed for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes (11). This collection process is performed outside the laboratory and is coordinated as well as executed by trained clinicians. Efforts should be undertaken to standardize the SF collection process since improper collection techniques could seriously impact SF test results (8 , 15 , 18). Aspirations not performed according to standardized procedures increase the risk of causing blood vessel damage, which can result in a haemolysed SF sample (19). Furthermore, the clinician performing the SF collection should be made aware if the patient is taking any medications. Available literature data suggests that arthrocentesis might be safely performed in patients receiving warfarin and direct oral anticoagulants without altering their anticoagulation regimen (20 , 21).

Immediately after collection, an aliquot of the SF collected should be transferred to an appropriate container (tube). If anticoagulant containing tubes are used, they should be mixed gently according to the manufacturer's instructions to ensure mixing of anticoagulants and SF sample. These steps should be done at the site of the collection before transportation to the laboratory (15).

The Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommends the following collection order: the first aliquot of the SF sample should be intended for biochemical analysis, the second aliquot for microscopic examinations (cell count, differentials, and crystal identification), while the third should be intended for microbiological analyses (2 , 8 , 15). The sample should be observed for clotting in case of non-anticoagulated tubes (normal SF does not clot, due to lack of fibrinogen). After clotting completion and centrifugation for 10 minutes, at 1000-3000 rounds per minute (rpm), biochemical testing is performed from the supernatant (9 , 10 , 15 , 19).

Tubes containing oxalate, lithium heparin or lyophilised EDTA are not recommended, because they may form crystalline-resembling formations, which can be mistaken for monosodium urate (MSU) or calcium oxalate crystals, causing false positive results (2 , 10 , 15 , 19).

The volume of synovial effusion varies depending on the condition and the joint that is affected. Often during the collection procedure, it is not possible to obtain a valid amount of SF as recommended. Volumes of synovial fluid less than 1 mL, collected in tubes containing liquid anticoagulants, can result in the destruction of cell components. However, low volumes of SF collected in plain tubes should not be rejected by the laboratory because microscopic analysis (e.g. cell count, differentials) and crystals diagnostics are feasible from a few drops of the SF sample. If a recommended sample volume is not available, the clinician should prioritize the test requested according to the suspected diagnosis in collaboration with the laboratory (which acknowledges the sufficiency of the sample volume for the requested tests) (7 , 19).

Synovial fluid samples delivered to the laboratory for analysis in large syringes are generally not acceptable, especially regarding synovial fluid sample clotting (15). The practice of delivering SF samples to the laboratory in aspiration syringes (especially with needles) represents a potential biohazard and should be avoided. However, considering the peculiarities of this sample type and its

collection procedure, the SF should not be rejected by the laboratory solely based on inadequate container choice or non-compliant timeframe for sample delivery to the laboratory. Sample rejection criteria should be instituted and followed; SF sample rejection should be documented by the laboratory and stated in the patient's report (8 , 15 , 19).

Due to its high molecular weight, fibrinogen normally cannot pass through the synovial membrane. The presence of fibrinogen in the SF will cause sample clotting and may indicate a traumatic tap or a pathological disorder. To prevent sample clotting, an aliquot of the sample should be transferred in tubes containing liquid EDTA (8 , 11).

Laboratory analysis of synovial fluid comprises of macroscopic examination (volume, appearance), the determination of specific biochemical analyses, and microscopic examination (Figure 1).

Algorithm for synovial fluid laboratory analysis.

The accumulation of more than 3.5 mL of SF can be considered an abnormal volume, indicating an intra-articular process of different ethology (e.g. inflammatory). However, small SF volumes do not exclude a joint condition. The presence of particles like inclusion bodies or fibrin can lead to difficulties in obtaining an SF sample, which might result in a falsely low volume collected (10 , 11 , 19 , 22).

Synovial fluid appearance is an essential part of SF laboratory analysis. Synovial fluid appearance may indicate various disorders as well as a traumatic tap. If the SF is red-brownish and the colour is unevenly distributed, or a bloody stripe is noticed in the sample, a traumatic tap should be suspected (2 , 8 , 10 , 11 , 19). The clarity of SF might be modified by the presence of either increased numbers of white blood cells, or erythrocytes, synoviocytes, a multitude of MSU crystals, fibrin, cellular debris, lipids (e.g. in fat necrosis), chyle droplets, etc. (8 , 11 , 19). White free-floating rice-like aggregates, called rice bodies, may be present in SF samples. These particles are composed of collagen covered with fibrinous tissue and are frequently found in rheumatoid arthritis as a result of synovium degeneration. Ochronotic shards may be also seen in SF samples. These appear like ground pepper particles and represent pigmented pieces of cartilage originating from ochronotic arthropathy or metal and plastic joint prosthesis (8 , 11 , 19). Macroscopic characteristics of SF fluid in health and different joint disorders are presented in Table 1 .

Regardless of its limited clinical significance, SF viscosity is still assessed because of its cost-effectiveness and simplicity. The degree of viscosity of the SF is assessed by the string test. The string test can be determined directly by the clinician while transferring the SF sample from the collection syringe to the appropriate container. Alternatively, the string test can be performed before centrifugation by laboratory staff placing one drop of synovial fluid between two gloved fingers, a thumb, and an index finger. By moving fingers slowly in the opposite direction, a 5-centimetre-long string should be formed between them before breaking. If the string formed is < 3 centimetres long (low viscosity), it might suggest an ongoing inflammatory process in the joint. A string longer than 6 centimetres is found in cases of septic arthritis. Although accurate measurements of SF viscosity can be performed using a viscometer, this is rarely performed due to the low clinical value of this parameter (11 , 19).

Synovial fluid viscosity is physiologically very high and depends on the amount of polymerized hyaluronate present. Normal SF has a hyaluronate concentration of 3.0–3.5 g/L. These concentrations decrease physiologically after the age of 50, and at about the age of 80, their values are approximately 2 g/L. Furthermore, hyaluronate can be depolymerized by the hyaluronidase present in neutrophils and bacteria during inflammatory conditions of the joint. Additionally, some conditions prevent the synthesis of hyaluronate by synoviocytes. The result is a decreased (low) viscosity of the SF indicating the presence of an inflammatory process in the joint. The protein SF content, as well as the protein type, cells, temperature, and enzymes, have an impact on the SF viscosity (8 , 10 , 11 , 18 , 19 , 22 , 23).

The mucin clot test is a qualitative test that estimates the degree of polymerization of the hyaluronic acid-protein complex (mucin) responsible for SF viscosity. It provides little diagnostic information, but it is still used by some laboratories because of its simplicity. Mucin test can be performed to differentiate the synovial fluid from other fluids of uncertain origin (8 , 11 , 19).

Synovial fluid has a similar chemical composition to plasma, although the concentrations of analytes found in normal SF are mostly lower compared to those found in the blood. Higher analyte concentrations are often related to intra-articular alterations and pathologic conditions.

Delayed laboratory analysis of SF samples might cause a reduction of the leukocyte number present in the sample; which is more pronounced in samples with higher leukocyte counts. Furthermore, prolonged sample storage (at room temperature or refrigerated) after collection might cause the artefactual formation and/or dissolution of crystals and the alteration of their optical characteristics. Thus, delayed analysis of SF samples could lead to the misdiagnosis of the underlying joint condition (10 , 23).

Different protocols for hyaluronidase solution preparation are available (e.g. 25 mg hyaluronidase and 1 mL of SF are incubated at 37°C for 5 minutes, or 0.5 mg lyophilized hyaluronidase powder and 1 mL of SF incubate 15 minutes at room temperature) (19 , 25). A laboratory can also prepare plain microcentrifuge tubes with added hyaluronidase solution in advance and store them in a refrigerator or freezer prior to analysis. It is important in this course of action not to forget to calculate the dilution effect of hyaluronidase solution. Each laboratory should select the most suitable protocol for a routine application.

A simultaneous analysis of glucose concentrations in the serum and SF enables more reliable interpretation of glucose concentrations in the SF sample. In the fasting state glucose concentrations in the serum and SF are equivalent and the serum-synovial fluid glucose difference is ≤ 0.6 mmol/L. Conversely, in non-fasting patients, the serum-synovial fluid difference is > 0.6 mmol/L. Non-inflammatory and haemorrhagic conditions affecting the joint are characterized by a serum-synovial fluid glucose difference of < 1.1 mmol/L (Table 1). In inflammatory, crystal-induced and infectious joint conditions the serum-synovial fluid glucose difference is up to 2.2 mmol/L, 4.4 mmol/L, and 1.1–5.6 mmol/L, respectively. According to the Croatian Chamber of Medical Biochemistry (CCMB), the reference range for glucose in SF samples is 3.3–5.3 mmol/L (8 , 11 , 15 , 26).

The measurement of lactate concentrations in SF is a helpful tool in the diagnosis of septic arthritis, especially in cases of negative bacteriologic culture results. The reference range for lactate concentrations in SF samples is 1.0–1.8 mmol/L. However, lactate concentrations increase with an increasing severity of inflammation, reaching concentrations as high as 13.5 mmol/L in culture positive SF samples. Lactate concentrations greater than 9.0 mmol/L strongly support the diagnosis of bacterial arthritis indicating the need for immediate treatment (8 , 11 , 15 , 26).

The diagnosis of gout is established by the presence of patient's symptoms and by measuring uric acid in plasma. The diagnosis is confirmed by the finding of MSU crystals in the SF. The determination of uric acid in SF samples is a helpful additional tool in gout diagnosis and should be measured using standard laboratory methods. Since SF uric acid concentrations are equivalent to those found in the, they are generally monitored by serum measurements (8 , 11 , 15).

Although all plasma proteins can be found in SF, high-molecular-weight proteins (e.g. α 2 -macroglobulin, β 2 -macroglobulin, and fibrinogen) are present only in very low concentrations or completely absent. Total protein concentrations in normal SF are about 1/3 of the protein concentration in plasma. The increased protein concentrations are caused by increased permeability of the synovial membrane or by increased synthesis in the joint cavity. Albumin represents the main protein fraction in SF with concentrations of approximately 12 g/L. The presence of ankylosing spondylitis, arthritis, arthropathies that appear as secondary consequences

of Crohn disease, gout, psoriasis, ulcerative colitis, etc. , are accompanied by high total SF protein concentrations (8 , 10 , 11 , 26 , 27).

Synovial fluid RF can be found in approximately 60% of patients with RA in slightly lower concentrations than those found in serum (≤ 14 IU/mL). In general, synovial fluid RF determination in RA is not considered to be diagnostically helpful because positive RF may simply reflect serum concentrations and might derive from other chronic inflammatory conditions (19 , 28).

Nowadays, along with the CRP, human alpha-defensin 1-3 (AD) can be used for the diagnosis of PJI. Alpha-defensin has shown high diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for suspected PJI, especially after total knee and hip arthroplasty. The usefulness of AD has been demonstrated in ruling out PJI and it could be used as a confirmatory test for PJI (32 - 35).

Lactate dehydrogenase is the enzyme most frequently determined in SF samples. In normal SF, LD activity is lower compared to LD plasma activity. However, LD activities might be higher in SF samples, while normal activities are present in plasma. Lactate dehydrogenase activities of 400-700 U/L are related to moderate rheumatoid arthritis activity, while those exceeding 750 U/L indicate a high inflammatory activity. In general, high LD activities (i.e. above 280 U/L) are found in inflammatory effusions (e.g. gout, infectious arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) (11 , 15 , 26).

The white blood cell count and differential count are basic tools in the diagnosis and differentiation of various inflammatory and non-inflammatory conditions of the joint. Since the total WBC count gives overlapping results in the differentiation of joint disease categories in practice, the combination of WBC count and %PMN can be used to better discriminate non-inflammatory, inflammatory and infectious joint disorders. Differentials with %PMN > 80% are associated with bacterial arthritis and urate gout, while increased lymphocyte counts often occur in early stages of RA. The lack of harmonization of cell counting and differentiation presents a clear limitation in determining unique cut-offs for the diagnosis of various joint conditions. According to the American Rheumatism Association, the cut-offs for total WBC count and %PMN are as follows:

normal SF - WBC < 200 $\times 10^6$ /L, PMN < 25%;

non-inflammatory SF - WBC < 2000 $\times 10^6$ /L, PMN < 25%;

inflammatory SF - WBC 2000–50,000 $\times 10^6$ /L, PMN > 50%;

infectious SF - WBC > 50,000 $\times 10^6$ /L, PMN > 75% (Table 1) (3 , 10 , 13 , 18).

Available literature data suggest that anti-inflammatory drugs, especially nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), in general, do not affect the total cell count in SF samples, although NSAIDs reduce the function of white blood cells (e.g. the production of proinflammatory cytokines) (36 , 37). However, in general, information concerning the intake of medications can be noted on the laboratory test request.

The presence of synovial lining cells is of no clinical significance. Abnormal numbers of cells or atypical cell types (i.e. plasma cells, eosinophils, lupus erythematosus cells, malignant) are indicative of various conditions affecting the joint (8 , 11). Synovial fluid samples with atypical cell types should be referred to cytological evaluation.

The total WBC with differentials should be performed within one hour of the collection to avoid cell destruction. However, accurate results can be obtained if SF samples are stored at 2-8°C in tubes containing EDTA additive (38 , 39). The undiluted SF sample should be thoroughly mixed prior to analysis. If significantly turbid, SF samples should be diluted with isotonic saline. Inflammatory SF fluids are prone to visible clotting, which might affect accurate cell count determination. Such SF samples should be analysed immediately or collected in tubes with appropriate additives.

Erythrocytes have limited diagnostic value in SF samples. A high number of erythrocytes present in the SF sample derives either from haemorrhagic effusions or traumatic arthrocentesis. A high erythrocyte number might interfere with the WBC count and differential count. Consequently, erythrocytes should be selectively lysed by diluting the sample using hypotonic saline (0.3%) (8 , 10 , 22 , 39).

Manual cell counts in SF samples are performed using a haemocytometer (Neubauer or Fuchs-Rosenthal chamber). Due to SF sample's high viscosity, the cells should be allowed to settle in the chamber for an additional period of time before counting. If necessary, sample viscosity should be reduced by dilution with hyaluronidase buffer enabling a more homogeneous cell distribution in the counting chamber (8 , 10).

Differential cell count should be performed by staining air-dried smears of cytocentrifuged SF samples according to May-Grünwald or Wright. Leukocyte morphology is then assessed under high power light microscope using oil immersion. Usually, mononuclear cells are predominant in non-inflammatory disorders while polynucleated cells prevail in inflammatory processes (12 , 26 , 39).

Although still considered the reference technique for counting and differentiation, optical microscopy is technically challenging, has a low throughput which results in longer turnaround times (TAT), is prone to substantial intra- and inter-observer variations and lacks standardization. Modern automated haematology analysers adapted for the SF counting analysis offer technical and clinical advantages. Compared to manual methods, automated counting is more reliable and practical, reduces TAT and enables longer cell stability. However, the limitations of automated cell counting methods should also be addressed, especially their inability to detect or correctly classify malignant cells and atypical leukocytes, their high imprecision (especially in samples with low cell counts), the interference of non-cellular particles (e.g. fat globules, cartilage fragments, crystals) which may cause pseudoleukocytosis or pseudoeosinophilia, and the presence of possible matrix effect which might affect proper sample aspiration. Therefore, unclear automated results, SF samples with low WBC count, suspected malignant samples, as well as suspected interferences should be verified by manual microscopy (3 , 5 , 7 , 8 , 13 , 40).

The identification of crystals by PLM is one of the most important analyses performed in SF samples. It is considered the gold standard for the definitive diagnosis of gout and pseudogout, especially in atypical cases. Compensated polarizing microscopy is the standard method for crystal identification. It allows the differentiation of synovial fluid crystals based on their birefringence. Synovial fluid crystals have the ability to refract polarized light in two dimensions at 90 degrees to each other and, depending on their molecular structure, to produce a characteristic colour under compensated polarized light. The compensator separates the light beam into slow- and fast-moving vibrations and produces a red background. Monosodium urate crystals are highly negatively birefringent and appear yellow when aligned with the slow vibrations, while CPPD is positively birefringent producing a blue colour (10 , 41 , 42).

Various types of crystals might be found in SF, two of the most frequent being MSU and CPPD. Other crystals (e.g. calcium hydroxyapatite, cholesterol, steroid crystals) have pathologic significance in the diagnosis of crystalline joint conditions (Table 2) (5 , 8 , 10 , 11 , 43).

The temperature and variations in pH can affect crystal formation and solubility. Therefore, crystal analysis should be performed at room temperature as soon as possible after arthrocentesis (8 , 10 , 22). If MSU and CPPD crystal analysis are to be delayed or for educational purposes, the SF sample might be stored at room temperature (with or without sodium heparin or EDTA) up to 72 hours or at 4°C for eight weeks (5 , 18 , 24 , 43).

The cytocentrifugation prior to crystal analysis has several advantages: a) it concentrates SF components in a monolayer increasing sensitivity and recovery; b) the slides prepared might be

retained for education, training and competency assessment; and c) the slides might be examined under microscope stained or unstained (8 , 44 , 45). Nevertheless, cytocentrifugation has several limitations: a) it requires more time to prepare the slide; b) it requires special equipment (cytocentrifuge); and c) in the presence of a large number of cells, the identification of crystals is more difficult. Alternatively, in the absence of a cytocentrifuge, slides for microscopic crystal analysis can be prepared using a conventional centrifuge, centrifuging samples for 10 minutes at 700 rpm (8 , 46).

Wet slides for SF crystal analysis are prepared from native samples. One drop of a thoroughly mixed SF sample is placed on a slide and covered with a coverslip. The sample should fill the area under the coverslip. The differentiation of SF crystals is difficult because their number might vary significantly; they are very similar, may be hidden in fibrin or cellular debris, and may be mistakenly identified as artefacts. Therefore, slides should be examined by experienced laboratory personnel. Slide examination should start with a low-power field screening procedure (100x magnification) followed by the identification of crystals using a high-power field (HPF) magnification (400x or higher). A crystal positive sample of the SF should contain at least two typical shapes (e.g. MSU needle-like shape) in each field of 10 randomly selected fields of view. Crystals description should include birefringence (strong, weak), shape (needle, rhomboid, square bipyramidal, etc.), cell location (intra-, extracellular) and quantity (number of crystals as per HPF) (8 , 10 , 22 , 25 , 40).

The results of SF biochemical analysis should be reported in the same units as those obtained in the serum/plasma. The values of simultaneous measurement of an analyte in the serum/plasma and synovial fluid (e.g. glucose) should be reported on the same test report (15 , 48). Decision limits and/or reference intervals for clinically useful analytes in SF laboratory testing are provided throughout this document and should be implemented on the test report (summarized in Table 3). Similarly, the recommended storage conditions might be applied, although laboratories should validate the stability of the analytes tested in SF samples in their own routine setting (7 , 15).

The test report should include interpretive comments addressing the preanalytical and analytical phases of SF analysis. If available, a laboratory information system should be used to generate standardized and clear comments (7). The practice of directly communicating and interpreting results with the ordering/responsible clinician should be encouraged.

The authors would like to thank Professor Nada Vrki■, PhD, for critical reading, valuable comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

Potential conflict of interest: None declared.