

Glucose management for exercise using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and intermittently scanned CGM (isCGM) systems in type 1 diabetes: position statement of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and of the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) endorsed by JDRF and supported by the American Diabetes Association (ADA).

Title: Glucose management for exercise using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and intermittently scanned CGM (isCGM) systems in type 1 diabetes: position statement of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and of the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) endorsed by JDRF and supported by the American Diabetes Association (ADA).

Publication year: 2020

Journal: Pediatric diabetes

Authors: Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Austria.

Society: European Society of Cardiology

Origin country: International (Europe)

Target countries: Europe

DOI: 10.1111/pedi.13105

PMID: 33047481

Source: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33047481/>

Pediatr Diabetes Pediatr Diabetes 379 blackwellopen 100939345 PEDI Pediatric Diabetes 1399-543X 1399-5448 pmc-is-collection-domain yes pmc-collection-title Wiley Open Access Collection PMC7702152 PMC7702152.1 7702152 7702152 33047481 10.1111/pedi.13105 PEDI13105 1 Ispad Guidelines Ispad Guidelines Glucose management for exercise using continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and intermittently scanned CGM (isCGM) systems in type 1 diabetes: position statement of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and of the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) endorsed by JDRF and supported by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) Moser et al. Moser Othmar <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1661-0685> 1 2 othmar.moser@medunigraz.at Riddell Michael C. 3 Eckstein Max L. 1 Adolfsson Peter 4 5 Rabasa-Lhoret Rémi 6 7 8 9 van den Boom Louisa 10 Gillard Pieter 11 Nørgaard Kirsten 12 Oliver Nick S. 13 Zaharieva Dessi P. 14 Battelino Tadej 15 16 de Beaufort Carine 17 18 Bergenstal Richard M. 19 Buckingham Bruce 14 Cengiz Eda 20 21 Deeb Asma 22 Heise Tim 23 Heller Simon 24 25 Kowalski Aaron J. 26 Leelarathna Lalantha 27 28 Mathieu Chantal 11 Stettler Christoph 29 Tauschmann Martin 30 Thabit Hood 27 Wilmot Emma G. 31 32 Sourij Harald 1 Smart Carmel E. 33 34 Jacobs Peter G. 35 Bracken Richard M. 36 Mader Julia K. 1 1 Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine Medical University of Graz Austria 2 Division of Exercise Physiology and Metabolism, Department of Sport Science, University of Bayreuth Bayreuth Germany 3 School of Kinesiology and Health Science York University Toronto Ontario Canada 4 Department of Pediatrics The Hospital of Halland Kungälv Sweden 5 Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg Institution of Clinical Sciences Gothenburg Sweden 6 Institut de recherches Cliniques de Montréal Montréal QC Canada 7 Endocrinology division Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montréal Montréal QC Canada 8

Nutrition Department, Faculty of Medicine Université de Montréal Montréal QC Canada 9 Montreal Diabetes Research Centre Montréal QC Canada 10 Division of Pediatric Diabetology DRK Children's Hospital Siegen Germany 11 Department of Endocrinology University Hospitals Leuven, KU Leuven Leuven Belgium 12 Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen University of Copenhagen Copenhagen Denmark 13 Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction, Faculty of Medicine Imperial College London London UK 14 Department of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes Stanford University School of Medicine Stanford California USA 15 Department of Paediatric Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, UMC ■ University Children's Hospital University Medical Centre Ljubljana Ljubljana Slovenia 16 Faculty of Medicine University of Ljubljana Ljubljana Slovenia 17 Department of Pediatric Diabetes and Endocrinology Centre Hospitalier Luxembourg Luxembourg Luxembourg 18 Department of Pediatrics, Free University Brussels (VUB) Brussels Belgium 19 International Diabetes Center Minneapolis Minnesota USA 20 Department of Pediatrics, Yale School of Medicine New Haven Connecticut USA 21 Bahçe■ehir Üniversitesi, Istanbul Turkey 22 Paediatric Endocrinology Division Shaikh Shakhboub Medical City Abu Dhabi United Arab Emirates 23 Profil Neuss Germany 24 Department of Oncology & Metabolism, The Medical School University of Sheffield Sheffield UK 25 Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield UK 26 JDRF New York New York USA 27 Manchester Diabetes Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust Manchester Academic Health Science Centre Manchester UK 28 Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health University of Manchester Manchester UK 29 Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology, Nutritional Medicine and Metabolism, Inselspital Bern University Hospital and University of Bern Bern Switzerland 30 Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine Medical University of Vienna Vienna Austria 31 Diabetes Department, Royal Derby Hospital, University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHSFT Derby UK 32 Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences University of Nottingham Nottingham UK 33 School of Health Sciences, University of Newcastle Callaghan New South Wales Australia 34 Department of Paediatric Diabetes and Endocrinology John Hunter Children's Hospital Newcastle New South Wales Australia 35 Department of Biomedical Engineering Oregon Health & Science University Portland Oregon USA 36 Applied Sport, Technology, Exercise and Medicine Research Centre (A■STEM), College of Engineering Swansea University Swansea UK * Correspondence Othmar Moser, Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Department of Internal Medicine, Medical University of Graz, Auenbruggerplatz 2, 8036 Graz, Austria. Email: othmar.moser@medunigraz.at 13 10 2020 12 2020 21 8 369756 10.1111/pedi.v21.8 1375 1393 30 11 2020 14 12 2020 03 11 2023 © 2020 The Authors. Pediatric Diabetes published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/> This is an open access article under the terms of the <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/> License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. Abstract Physical exercise is an important component in the management of type 1 diabetes across the lifespan. Yet, acute exercise increases the risk of dysglycaemia, and the direction of glycaemic excursions depends, to some extent, on the intensity and duration of the type of exercise. Understandably, fear of hypoglycaemia is one of the strongest barriers to incorporating exercise into daily life. Risk of hypoglycaemia during and after exercise can be lowered when insulin■dose adjustments are made and/or additional carbohydrates are consumed. Glycaemic management during exercise has been made easier with continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and intermittently scanned continuous glucose monitoring (isCGM) systems; however, because of the complexity of CGM and isCGM systems, both individuals with type 1 diabetes and their healthcare professionals may struggle with the interpretation of given information to maximise the technological potential for effective use around exercise (ie, before, during and after). This position statement highlights the recent advancements in CGM and isCGM technology, with a focus on the evidence base for their efficacy to sense glucose around exercise and adaptations in the use of these emerging tools, and updates the guidance for exercise in adults, children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes.

pmc-status-qastatus 0 pmc-status-live yes pmc-status-embargo no pmc-status-released yes
pmc-prop-open-access yes pmc-prop-olf no pmc-prop-manuscript no pmc-prop-legally-suppressed
no pmc-prop-has-pdf yes pmc-prop-has-supplement yes pmc-prop-pdf-only no

pmc-prop-suppress-copyright no pmc-prop-is-real-version no pmc-prop-is-scanned-article no
pmc-prop-preprint no pmc-prop-in-epmc yes pmc-license-ref CC BY-NC
source-schema-version-number 2.0 cover-date December 2020 details-of-publishers-converter
Converter:WILEY_ML3GV2_TO_JATSPMC version:5.9.4 mode:remove_FC converted:30.11.2020
Moser O , Riddell MC , Eckstein ML , et al. Glucose management for exercise using continuous
glucose monitoring (CGM) and intermittently scanned CGM (isCGM) systems in type 1 diabetes:
position statement of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and of the
International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) endorsed by JDRF and
supported by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) . *Pediatr Diabetes* . 2020 ; 21 : 1375 –
1393 . 10.1111/pedi.13105 33047481 PMC7702152

This article is co-published in the journals *Diabetologia* and *Pediatric Diabetes* , available at [<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-020-05263-9>] and [<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/13995448>], respectively.

This is an abridged version of the Position Statement. The full-length version is available on the EASD website (https://www.easd.org/sites/default/files/Exercise%20CGM%20EASD%20position%20statement_final.pdf).

Funding information Medical University of Graz; Newcastle University; Medical University of Innsbruck; Swansea University; King's College London; King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Intermittently scanned continuous glucose monitoring

International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes

Most common continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) systems measure glucose in the interstitial fluid, providing real-time sensor glucose data, while intermittently scanned CGM (isCGM) systems measure interstitial glucose levels at the time of scanning via a reader device. CGM improves the time spent in euglycaemia and reduces (severe) hypoglycaemia in people with impaired awareness of hypoglycaemia (IAH), decreases HbA 1c levels and ameliorates general measures of glycaemic control, as shown in different randomised (crossover) studies [1 , 2 , 3 , 4]. isCGM reduces the time spent in hypoglycaemia, as shown by randomised controlled studies [5 , 6], and decreases HbA 1c levels, as shown by a meta-analysis [7] of largely observational studies. Interestingly, switching from isCGM to CGM may have a beneficial impact on hypoglycaemia outcomes in people with a high risk of hypoglycaemia, perhaps because the latter displays data without the need to scan and is augmented by alerts for when the sensor glucose approaches hypoglycaemia, as detailed in a randomised parallel-group study [8].

Nonetheless, CGM technology is also accompanied by limitations, like skin irritation and pain, as assessed in children and pregnant women [9 , 10 , 11 , 12], sleep disruption due to discomfort associated with the position of the device [13], a constant reminder of having diabetes, overload of information and that the device is visible to others [11 , 13 , 14]. Furthermore, it is important to note that if hypo- and hyperglycaemic symptoms are not in line with the sensor glucose value, a confirmatory self-monitored blood glucose (SMBG) should be performed.

A lag time between the glucose value in the vasculature and interstitial fluid does exist and, thus, influences sensor glucose measurement accuracy against blood glucose values [15]. Moreover, other physiological changes during exercise, such as alterations in blood flow rate, body temperature and body acidity, can theoretically have an impact on interstitial glucose-sensing accuracy [15], though the degree of impact of these factors is unknown on an individual basis. Thus, when assessing the accuracy of different interstitial glucose monitoring systems vs reference glucose, which is usually assessed by a median or mean absolute relative difference (MARD), a part of the difference should be interpreted as lag time rather than inaccuracy, as shown in a

secondary outcome analysis of an experimental study [16]. Additionally, other factors, like local metabolic rate, sensor site [17], exercise type [18 , 19], vasoconstriction [20], potential interference with medications [21], the direction of glucose rate of change [22 , 23] and baseline glucose level may influence lag time [15]. Also, the glucose concentration, per se, might have an impact on the sensor accuracy, as seen for isCGM, detailing a lower MARD for hyperglycaemia and higher MARD for hypoglycaemia, as shown by two experimental studies [24 , 25]. At rest, a lag time of ~5 min is seen in healthy individuals [26], while, in situations of rapid glucose changes, it can increase to up to 12–24 min or even longer during exercise, as seen in people with type 1 diabetes [22 , 23 , 27]. Depending on the CGM and isCGM device, the overall mean of all MARDs during different types of exercise in people with type 1 diabetes is ~13.63% (95% CI 11.41%, 15.84%), as detailed in Figure 1 [16 , 17 , 18 , 22 , 24 , 25 , 27 , 28 , 29 , 30 , 31 , 32 , 33 , 34 , 35 , 36 , 37]. However, more recent CGM and isCGM devices, not yet investigated for exercise, might have a lower MARD and enhanced performance.

MARD (%) of current CGM and isCGM devices during exercise. MARD data are weighted for the number of participants and SD of MARD for different manufacturers of all CGM and isCGM devices. The dashed line and the green diamond represent the MARD of all CGM and isCGM devices. Red diamonds represent the MARD for each specific company. Horizontal bars represent the 95% CIs for the specific studies. All types of studies using CGM and/or isCGM during exercise in people with type 1 diabetes were included (the studies by Giani et al [36] and Breton et al [29] were performed in children and adolescents). This figure is available as part of a downloadable slideset

Due to the growing popularity of CGM and isCGM technologies, this writing group produced modified and novel recommendations based on current evidence, consensus statements and position statements for people with type 1 diabetes around exercise (ie, before, during and after). This writing group consists of exercise physiologists, sports scientists, diabetologists, endocrinologists, paediatric diabetologists, bioengineers and nutritionists. After performing one-on-one meetings with all members of the writing group, a first outline was written based on the members' recommendations, including defined work packages for the authors. Subsequently, two lead authors (OM and JKM) produced a manuscript and circulated it within the writing group for further discussions. A consensus meeting was held during the Advanced Technologies & Treatments for Diabetes (ATTD) 2020 conference in Madrid, Spain, and consensus was obtained. A final version of the position statement was then sent to the writing group for additional discussion, comments and final edits. All authors approved the final manuscript.

The consensus group accepted the position statement on physical activity/exercise and diabetes, of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) [38], the consensus statement for exercise management in type 1 diabetes [39] and the International Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Diabetes (ISPAD) clinical practice consensus guidelines for exercise in children and adolescents with diabetes [40] as a starting point. Additionally, a systematic literature search was conducted by three independent researchers, using PubMed, EMBASE and the Cochrane Library for publications, on CGM and isCGM systems around exercise in people with type 1 diabetes between November and December 2019. Details on the keywords and the search strategy are available in electronic supplementary material (ESM) Tables 1 , 2 , 3 . Original data investigating the performance of CGM and isCGM systems during exercise were used to produce a forest plot detailing the overall MARD between sensor glucose and reference glucose. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were included as additional information for the use of CGM and isCGM around exercise. In addition, reference lists from each relevant publication were screened to identify additional articles pertinent to the topic. Papers were grouped per theme and the authors reviewed the evidence. Nevertheless, though evidence-based, the recommendations presented within this position statement are the opinions of the authors.

Sensor glucose targets in advance of exercise in regard to different groups of people with type 1 diabetes

Note: Sensor glucose targets are detailed for the following groups in type 1 diabetes (T1D): intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 2); moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 1); minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 0).

When reaching the required sensor glucose level to start exercise, only consume carbohydrates again when trend arrow is starting to decrease.

These recommendations are not applicable to hybrid closed-loop systems.

Green shading, no/minimal action required; light-yellow shading, minimal/moderate action required; dark-yellow shading, moderate/intense action required; red shading, no/delay exercise.

AE, mild-to-moderate intensity aerobic exercise; CHO, carbohydrate; Ex, exercise; HIT, high-intensity training; hypo, hypoglycaemia; RT, resistance training.

Recommendation for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses and intact cognitive and functional status.

Recommendation for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses or two or more instrumental ADL impairments or mild-to-moderate cognitive impairment.

50% of regular insulin correction factor when sensor glucose is close to the upper threshold.

Delay exercise until reaching at least 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) and , , or

Delay exercise until reaching at least 3.9-4.9 mmol/L (70-89 mg/dL) and

Delay exercise until reaching a sensor glucose of 3.9-4.9 mmol/L (70-89 mg/dL) with an arrow if an increase in sensor glucose is expected during exercise, or delay exercise until reaching at least 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) and , , or if a decrease in sensor glucose during exercise is expected.

Sensor glucose targets during exercise in regard to different groups of people with type 1 diabetes

Note: Sensor glucose targets are detailed for the following groups in type 1 diabetes (T1D): intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 2); moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 1); minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 0).

When reaching the required sensor glucose level during exercise, only consume carbohydrates again when trend arrow is starting to decrease.

These recommendations are not applicable to hybrid closed-loop systems.

Green shading, no/minimal action required; light-yellow shading, minimal/moderate action required; dark-yellow shading, moderate/intense action required; red shading, stop exercise.

AE, mild-to-moderate intensity aerobic exercise; CHO, carbohydrate; Ex, exercise; hypo, hypoglycaemia.

Recommendation for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses and intact cognitive and functional status.

Recommendation for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses or two or more instrumental ADL impairments or mild to moderate cognitive impairment.

50% of the regular insulin correction factor.

Check sensor glucose at least 30 min after carbohydrate consumption and repeat treatment if required.

Restart exercise when reaching sensor glucose levels of at least 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL) and , or

Sensor glucose targets for carbohydrate consumption during the post-exercise phase, including the nocturnal post-exercise phase if exercise was performed in the late afternoon/evening

Note: Sensor glucose thresholds for treatments are detailed for the following groups in type 1 diabetes(T1D): intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 2); moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 1); minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 0).

If an insulin correction is applied due to high sensor glucose levels, then the regular correction factor might be reduced by up to 50%.

Check sensor glucose at least 30 min after carbohydrate consumption and repeat treatment if required.

These recommendations are not applicable to hybrid closed-loop systems.

The intensity of yellow shading indicates the level of action required: lighter yellow shading indicates that minimal/moderate action is required, while darker yellow shading indicates that moderate/intense action is required.

CHO, carbohydrate; Ex, exercise; hypo, hypoglycaemia.

Recommendation for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses and intact cognitive and functional status.

Recommendation for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses or two or more instrumental ADL impairments or mild to moderate cognitive impairment.

Level of evidence was set according to the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and the writing group of this position statement. Levels of evidence are, thus, categorised as: (Ia) evidence from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials; (Ib) evidence from at least one randomised controlled trial; (IIa) evidence from at least one controlled study without randomisation; (IIb) evidence from at least one other type of quasi-experimental study; (III) evidence from non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-control studies; or (IV) evidence from expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities, or both. If recommendations are given within this position statement, the strength of those are expressed as: (A) directly based on category I evidence; (B) directly based on category II evidence or extrapolated recommendation from category I evidence; (C) directly based on category III evidence or extrapolated recommendation from category I or II evidence; (D) directly based on category IV evidence or extrapolated recommendation from category I, II or III.

Different groups of people with type 1 diabetes may require different glycaemic ranges in preparation for, during and after performing exercise when using CGM and isCGM systems, based

on different position and consensus statements [40 , 41 , 42 , 43]. As discussed previously, CGM and isCGM devices may provide a different glucose reading compared with the actual SMBG, especially during exercise, and, thus, the sensor glucose value should be interpreted together with the corresponding trend arrow. Safe glycaemic ranges and specific carbohydrate consumption are recommended below, based on previous position and consensus statements [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) , and modified, based on experimental studies [44] (D) , [45] (D) as well as on the experience of this writing group. Groups within the population of type 1 diabetes, based on different characteristics in this position statement, are defined as children and adolescents (6 to <18 years) and adults (≥ 18 years) with type 1 diabetes. Additionally, further groups were defined based on the risk of hypoglycaemia, exercise experience and health status (older adults aged ≥ 65 years). Since structured exercise training is less common in pre-schoolers, as observed in healthy individuals [46], the writing group is unable to provide specific recommendations due to the general lack of scientific evidence for this age group. Sustained hyperglycaemia (hours) and/or frequently occurring hypoglycaemia during exercise should be discussed between the individual and his/her healthcare professional team to develop individualised strategies that improve glycaemic control and support ongoing regular participation in exercise. The recommendations below serve as starting points and should be individualised.

Different glucose responses are evident depending on the type of exercise, as seen in different experimental studies [47 , 48 , 49 , 50]; mild to moderate aerobic exercise decreases glucose levels [51 , 52 , 53], whilst intense aerobic and anaerobic exercise and exercises with a load profile similar to interval exercise stabilise [54] or increase glucose levels, as seen in various experimental studies [55 , 56 , 57]. Independent of the aforementioned groups (adults and children/adolescents), individuals who do not routinely exercise may face an increased risk of hypoglycaemia, as partially shown in a prospective observational study [58] (C) . Additionally, IAH [59] (C) , preceding episodes of hypoglycaemia [60] (B) and advanced age [58] (C) potentially increase the risk of hypoglycaemia during and after exercise. Therefore, the writing group recommends that the following groups can be categorised: Currently minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia

Currently moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia

Currently intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia

Assessment of exercise routine and risk of hypoglycaemia is recommended to be performed by a decision tree, modified based on a large-scale observational study [58] (C) , and a recent consensus statement [41] (D) (Figure 2).

Assessment of exercise experience and risk of hypoglycaemia. Exercise (Ex) represents how often people with type 1 diabetes are exercising with a duration ≥ 45 min per session per week. Assessment of risk of hypoglycaemia should be based on scoring systems for being aware of hypoglycaemia (AH) or showing IAH. In addition, if the scoring system reveals AH, the time below range (TBR; <3.9 mmol/L, <70 mg/dL) over the last 3 months should be evaluated to detail the degree of awareness. Furthermore, if an episode of severe hypoglycaemia (SH) occurred within the last 6 months, then there might be a high risk of hypoglycaemia during exercise. This figure is available as part of a downloadable slideset

Older and/or frail adults with type 1 diabetes (aged ≥ 65 years) may have a different health status that is reflected by different glycaemic goals based on HbA 1c and time in range (TIR), as discussed by recent consensus and position statements [41 , 43]. In general, for this group, exercise is recommended to be performed 2–3 times per week as discussed by a position statement [38] (D) . However, older people with type 1 diabetes are at an increased risk of severe hypoglycaemia around physical activity and exercise [58] (C) . Considering this, higher glycaemic targets can be recommended for exercise based on patient characteristics and health status, to lower the risk of hypoglycaemia. Therefore, exercise can also be recommended for older adults with few coexisting chronic illnesses and intact cognitive and functional status, and for older adults

with coexisting chronic illnesses or two or more instrumental activities of daily living (ADL) impairments or mild to moderate cognitive impairment [61] (D) . For older adults with very complex and poor health status, the possibility to perform exercise should be evaluated on a case by case basis [61] (D) .

Recommendations for exercise based on habitual physical activity, risk of hypoglycaemia and health status (older and/or frail people with type 1 diabetes) should follow a more conservative strategy, which enables the avoidance of exercise induced glycaemic excursions. If a person is exercising more routinely and/or has a lower risk of hypoglycaemia over a period of 3 months, then the glycaemic upper and lower thresholds for carbohydrate consumption might be adjusted. Furthermore, in the following sections, generic trend arrows are given independently of the device used.

CGM and isCGM systems can be used as effective tools to help indicate when carbohydrate intake should be initiated to prevent or treat hypoglycaemia during prolonged exercise, as seen in experimental studies in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes [44 , 45]. However, the significant lag time in these technologies should be taken into consideration when using them to determine when to consume carbohydrates [27]. Furthermore, isCGM requires frequent scanning since no automatic alerts are available for the first generation device, as discussed in a narrative review [62]. For adults with type 1 diabetes, the following recommendations are given regarding the glycaemic thresholds below which carbohydrates might be consumed [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) : <7.0 mmol/L (<126 mg/dL) for adults with type 1 diabetes, intensively exercising and/or with low risk of hypoglycaemia

<8.0 mmol/L (<145 mg/dL) for adults with type 1 diabetes, moderately exercising and/or with moderate risk of hypoglycaemia, or for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses and intact cognitive and functional status

<9.0 mmol/L (<161 mg/dL) for adults with type 1 diabetes, minimally exercising and/or with high risk of hypoglycaemia, or for older adults with coexisting chronic illnesses or two or more instrumental ADL impairments or mild to moderate cognitive impairment.

To lower the risk of hypoglycaemia during prolonged exercise, exercise should be initiated when mealtime insulin levels are low or about 90 min after the last carbohydrate rich meal with a reduction in mealtime insulin [38] (D) , [39] (D) . However, to achieve beneficial effects of exercise on overall glycaemic control, exaggerated bolus insulin dose reductions should be avoided, as discussed in a meta analysis [63] (D) .

If a CGM or a second generation isCGM device is used, hypoglycaemic alerts might be set at the highest possible alarm lower threshold at the onset of exercise, which is currently 5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) [22] (D) . This elevated hypoglycaemia alert setting is in line with the expected delay between interstitial glucose and blood glucose when levels are dropping during prolonged exercise [22]. Importantly, for the second generation isCGM device, an alert is only shown once and not repeated. Hyperglycaemic alerts can be set to 10.0 mmol/L (180 mg/dL) or higher, to avoid alarm fatigue [64] (D) . The rate of glucose change alerts (dropping or rising) can be used to initiate an earlier action, such as a decrease or increase in basal insulin rate for those on continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII), or a change to glucose rich or glucose free fluids, depending on the direction of change. Thirty to 60 min prior to the start of prolonged aerobic exercise (>30 min), to reduce hypoglycaemia risk, low glycaemic index carbohydrates can be consumed in those who do not reduce insulin dose, aiming to achieve pre exercise sensor glucose targets. A detailed description of pre exercise sensor glucose levels, trend arrows and consumption of carbohydrates is shown in Table 1 based on position and consensus statements and experimental studies [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) .

Independent of the type of exercise, the target sensor glucose ranges should be between 5.0 mmol/L and 10.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL and 180 mg/dL) and, ideally, between 7.0 mmol/L and 10.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL and 180 mg/dL) for prolonged aerobic exercise for the majority of adults with type 1 diabetes, and slightly higher for those with an increased risk of hypoglycaemia (Table 2) [38] (D) , [39] (D) . If sensor glucose is expected to increase, as often seen in people performing fasted high-intensity interval training [55 , 56], resistance training [49 , 65 , 66] and, also, in training above the anaerobic threshold [67], then an insulin correction can be administered at the onset of, as well as during exercise (50% of typical correction factor) [68] (D) , [69] (D) .

For safety reasons, the exercise should be suspended, at least temporarily, if the sensor glucose level reaches <3.9 mmol/L (<70 mg/dL) and oral carbohydrates should be consumed [70] (D) . Furthermore, at this lower threshold, a confirmatory SMBG might be performed to confirm the sensor glucose level. After reaching a sensor glucose level close to 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL), accompanied by a horizontal/upward trend arrow, exercise can be restarted. Many CGM systems provide a hypoglycaemia prediction alert. If the sensor glucose level is predicted to reach <3.0 mmol/L (<54 mg/dL), then fast-acting oral carbohydrates should be consumed immediately. If sensor glucose drops below 3.0 mmol/L (54 mg/dL) then exercise should not be restarted. During exercise, fast-acting liquid carbohydrates should be consumed consisting primarily of glucose (dextrose) or a mixture of glucose/fructose, as discussed in narrative reviews [71] (D) , [72] (D) . A read or scan from the CGM or isCGM system might be performed every 15-30 min, if feasible, during exercise to ensure early and appropriate treatment against hypo- or hyperglycaemia, and to assess the appropriateness of strategies to prevent dysglycaemia. With CGM, alerts could also be activated regarding the rate of change in glucose, providing the user with valuable information. For the majority of adults with type 1 diabetes who are at a low risk of hypoglycaemia, at a glycaemic threshold of 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) accompanied by a horizontal trend arrow, 10-15 g of carbohydrates should be consumed; 15-25 g carbohydrates should be consumed immediately if accompanied by a (slight) downward trend arrow; 20-35 g of carbohydrates should be consumed if accompanied with a downward trending arrow (Table 2) [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . Carbohydrates should be consumed regularly (eg, every 15 to 20 min) when reaching the lower glycaemic threshold, and carbohydrate supplementation should be repeated if sensor glucose is not rising according to the trend arrows within 30 min. These carbohydrate recommendations should be used as a guidance and personalised based on individual glucose responses to exercise. Carbohydrate consumption for endurance performance in individuals with type 1 diabetes is similar to the carbohydrate needs in healthy individuals (30-80 g carbohydrates per h), typically reflecting the physiological and/or performance demands of exercise [73] (A) . A lower carbohydrate intake can be achieved during prolonged exercise if desired, but aggressive insulin dose adjustments are likely to be needed [51] (B) , [74] (B) , [75] (D) .

During the first 90 min following exercise, an interstitial glycaemic range of 4.4 mmol/L to 10.0 mmol/L (80 mg/dL to 180 mg/dL) might be targeted in the majority of people with type 1 diabetes who are at a low risk of hypoglycaemia, reflecting the clinical targets for CGM and isCGM [41] (D) with a slightly increased lower glycaemic limit, as recently recommended [76] (D) . People with an elevated risk of hypoglycaemia are recommended to increase the lower limit of sensor glucose to 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) or 5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) during the post-exercise period [58] (D) . Sensor glucose may be monitored regularly via CGM, or every 15-30 min in the case of isCGM, during the 90 min post-exercise period, and the hypoglycaemia alert can be set at 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL), 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) or 5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) based on the risk of hypoglycaemia. If sensor glucose is rapidly increasing in the post-exercise phase (detected by CGM when using the rate-of-change alert), then an insulin correction can be considered (50% of typical correction dose) [68] (D) , [69] (D) . If exercise was performed at a moderate-to-high intensity and/or for a long duration, then glucose may decrease during the acute post-exercise period, as seen in experimental studies [74 , 77]. At a glycaemic threshold of 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL) or slightly higher, based on the risk of hypoglycaemia [76], accompanied by a horizontal trend arrow, ~10 g of carbohydrates are recommended to be consumed; 15 g of carbohydrates should be consumed if accompanied by a (slight) downward trend arrow; an individual amount of carbohydrates should be consumed if accompanied by rapidly falling downward trend arrows (Table 3) [38] (D) , [39] (D) ,

[40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . Treatment should be repeated if sensor glucose is not rising within 30 min as reflected by trend arrows. If an interstitial level <3.0 mmol/L (<54 mg/dL) is displayed, rapidly acting carbohydrates should be given and a confirmatory SMBG may be performed. Exogenous glucagon is recommended if the person is unable to self-treat [78] (B) .

Following an evening or late afternoon exercise session, or an exercise session of high intensity and long duration, people with type 1 diabetes are at an elevated risk of nocturnal hypoglycaemia, as shown in experimental and observational studies [79 , 80]. Hypoglycaemia typically occurs within 6 to 15 h after exercise, although the risk may remain longer, as seen in children and adolescents, as well as in adults [81 , 82]. People using a CGM system should, therefore, set the hypoglycaemia alert at 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL) during the night-time period, or higher for groups with an elevated risk of hypoglycaemia to allow for earlier proactive treatments [76] (D) . When reaching glucose levels of 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL), or higher if deemed necessary, the following carbohydrate guidance can be applied [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) : with a horizontal trend arrow, ~10 g of carbohydrates are recommended to be consumed; 15 g of carbohydrates should be consumed if accompanied by a (slight) downward trend arrow; and an individual amount of carbohydrates should be consumed if accompanied by downward trend arrows. These recommendations might also be applied for the second generation of isCGM systems where a real-time alert is given when reaching hypo- and hyperglycaemia threshold.

People using an isCGM system should perform at least one scan during the night-time period, preferably between midnight and 03:00 hours, since this is typically the nocturnal nadir after exercise [83] (D) . Carbohydrates should be given at a sensor glucose level of 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dL) or earlier in people with an elevated risk of hypoglycaemia, following the same strategy as given for CGM [76] (D) (Table 3) . When carbohydrates were consumed after reaching this lower threshold, a scan should be performed no later than 2 h afterwards. If considered necessary, this procedure should be repeated frequently based on the lower glycaemic threshold and trend arrow.

Exercise may improve glycaemic control, blood lipid profiles, physical fitness and quality of life and can decrease the total daily dose of insulin in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes, as shown in randomised controlled trials and in a systematic review [84 , 85 , 86 , 87]. Despite the positive effects of exercise, deterioration of diabetes control, fear of hypoglycaemia and other exercise-related fears similar to the ones experienced by children without diabetes are major barriers to an active lifestyle in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes, as assessed via questionnaire [88]. Furthermore, parents of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes reported a conflict between the need for planned activity to control glucose levels and the spontaneous nature of children's usual activity [89]. Therefore, children and adolescents, as well as their parents, should actively participate in consultations with the healthcare professional team, as parental support appears to be the key to an active lifestyle [88] (C) .

Hypo- and hyperglycaemic alerts should be set at 5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) and 10.0 mmol/L (180 mg/dL) or individualised if required [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . The rate-of-glucose-change alerts should be used to initiate an earlier action [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . The use of remote monitors (eg, via a mobile phone application to remotely follow sensor glucose in real-time) should be used to assess and react to glycaemic excursions during exercise [40] (D) . Both parents and most caregivers report a decreased overall worry and stress when using remote monitoring [90 , 91]. Carbohydrate supplementation might be performed in relation to the individual body weight or as an absolute amount [40] (D) ; however, it was shown that weight-adjusted carbohydrate supplementation is more effective to treat hypoglycaemia in children with type 1 diabetes in a randomised crossover study [92]. The guidelines in Table 4 can be followed for exercise, which are in alignment with the ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: exercise in children and adolescents with diabetes, and other consensus and position statements [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) .

General insulin therapy and carbohydrate recommendations for exercise in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes

Note: BW, body weight; CHO, carbohydrates; CSII, continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion; IOB, insulin on board; MDI, multiple daily injections.

Basal insulin dose might be reduced the day prior and on the day of all-day exercise.

Basal insulin rate might be reduced by 20% before bedtime if late afternoon/evening exercise was performed, depending on the duration and intensity of exercise.

Regular IOB, no/little insulin reduction has been performed; less IOB, moderate/high insulin reduction has been performed.

For the immediate pre-exercise phase, the target sensor glucose range should be between 7.0 mmol/L and 10.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL and 180 mg/dL), or between 8.0 mmol/L and 11.0 mmol/L (145 mg/dL and 198 mg/dL) for children and adolescents moderately exercising and/or with a moderate risk of hypoglycaemia, and 9.0 mmol/L and 12.0 mmol/L (162 mg/dL and 216 mg/dL) for children and adolescents minimally exercising and/or with a high risk of hypoglycaemia [40] (D) , [93] (D) . These glucose targets can be achieved by mealtime insulin dose reduction ranging from 25% to 75% (Table 4) [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) . Bolus insulin dose reduction can be based on the individual glucose response to the type, intensity and duration of exercise [94] (D) . If sensor glucose concentration is below these glycaemic targets, then small amounts of oral carbohydrates should be consumed (eg, 10–15 g of carbohydrates) [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . Exercise may be started when reaching 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) glucose and, ideally, from 7.0 mmol/L to 10.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL to 180 mg/dL) or higher in those with an increased risk of hypoglycaemia (Table 5) [40] (D) . Depending on the trend arrows on the CGM or isCGM, 5 g, 10 g, 15 g or more carbohydrates may be consumed when reaching the predefined lower glycaemic thresholds [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . At an upper limit of >15.0 mmol/L (>270 mg/dL) and blood ketone levels >1.5 mmol/L, exercise is contraindicated and blood ketone levels of 0.6–1.4 mmol/L should be addressed before exercise [40] (D) , [95] (D) , [96] (D) . In the case of extreme hyperglycaemia, an insulin correction might be applied (50% of a typical correction dose) [97] (D) . When using a CGM or a second-generation isCGM, the hypoglycaemia alert threshold should be set at 5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) and the hyperglycaemia alert threshold should be set at 10.0 mmol/L (180 mg/dL) or higher in those with an elevated risk of hypoglycaemia [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . Predictive hypoglycaemia thresholds, as well as rate-of-change-in-glucose alerts, should be switched on for CGM [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . Remote devices can be used by parents and caregivers to facilitate supportive action during exercise in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes [90] (D) . The strategies given in Table 5 should be applied to achieve the recommended glycaemic targets [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) .

Sensor glucose targets in advance to exercise in regard to different groups of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes

Note: Sensor glucose targets are detailed for the following groups in type 1 diabetes (T1D): intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 2); moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 1), minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 0).

When reaching the required sensor glucose level to start exercise, only consume carbohydrates again when the trend arrow is starting to decrease.

These recommendations are not applicable to hybrid closed-loop systems.

Green shading, no/minimal action required; light-yellow shading, minimal/moderate action required; dark-yellow shading, moderate/intense action required; red shading, no/delay exercise.

AE, mild to moderate intensity aerobic exercise; CHO, carbohydrates; Ex, exercise; hypo, hypoglycaemia.

50% of regular insulin correction factor when sensor glucose is close to the upper glycaemic threshold.

Delay exercise until reaching at least 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) and, ideally, from 7.0 mmol/L to 10.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL to 180 mg/dL) or higher in those with an increased risk of hypoglycaemia accompanied by , , or

Sensor glucose ranges of 5.0 mmol/L to 10.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL to 180 mg/dL) and, ideally, of 7.0 mmol/L to 10.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL to 180 mg/dL) should be targeted for exercise [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . These ranges should be higher for children and adolescents who are minimally exercising and/or with a higher risk of hypoglycaemia (Table 6) [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . Carbohydrate consumption at a lower threshold of 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL), 8.0 mmol/L (145 mg/dL) or 9.0 mmol/L (162 mg/dL), based on the risk of hypoglycaemia, with respect to trend arrows has been shown to avoid significant hypoglycaemia in children with type 1 diabetes [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) . If sensor glucose is >15.0 mmol/L (>270 mg/dL), blood ketones should be measured [40] (D) , [95] (D) , [96] (D) . If blood ketones are >1.5 mmol/L, exercise should be stopped, the source of hyperglycaemia should be assessed and an insulin correction might be applied (50% of typical correction dose) [97] (D) . Elevated blood ketone levels should lead to repeated glucose and blood ketone measurements after exercise to ensure that ketosis (blood ketones >1.5 mmol/L) or diabetic ketoacidosis is not developed. If sensor glucose is >15.0 mmol/L (>270 mg/dL) and blood ketones are ≤1.5 mmol/L, then only mild aerobic exercise may be performed to avoid a further increase in glucose levels by sympathoadrenal responses to intense (an)aerobic exercise [98] (D) . Exercise may be stopped at a sensor glucose level of <5.0 mmol/L (<90 mg/dL), SMBG may be performed and carbohydrates should be consumed [40] (D) , [95] (D) , [96] (D) . Exercise may be restarted when reaching a sensor glucose level of 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) accompanied by horizontal or upward trend arrows. Exercise should not be commenced when reaching a sensor glucose level of <3.0 mmol/L (<54 mg/dL). Sensor glucose may be checked every 15 min during exercise and parents/caregivers are recommended to observe sensor glucose levels via a remote device [99] (D) . With respect to the specific trend arrow, a certain amount of carbohydrates may be consumed at a lower glycaemic threshold of 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) or higher (Table 6), which should be further personalised in line with individual characteristics [40] (D) , [44] (D) , [45] (D) .

Sensor glucose targets during exercise in regard to different groups of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes

Note: Sensor glucose targets are detailed for the following groups in type 1 diabetes (T1D): intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 2); moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 1); minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 0).

When reaching the required sensor glucose level during exercise, only consume carbohydrates again when the trend arrow is starting to decrease.

These recommendations are not applicable to hybrid closed loop systems.

Green shading, no/minimal action required; light yellow shading, minimal/moderate action required; dark yellow shading, moderate/intense action required; red shading, stop exercise.

AE, mild to moderate intensity aerobic exercise; CHO, carbohydrates; Ex, exercise; hypo, hypoglycaemia.

Elevated blood ketone levels should lead to repeated controls after exercise to ensure that ketosis (blood ketones >1.5 mmol/L) or diabetic ketoacidosis is not developed. If sensor glucose is >15.0 mmol/L (>270 mg/dL) and blood ketones are ≤1.5 mmol/L, then only mild aerobic exercise may be performed.

50% of regular insulin correction factor when sensor glucose is close to the upper glycaemic threshold.

Restart exercise when reaching at least sensor glucose levels of 5.0 mmol/L (90 mg/dL) and or

Check sensor glucose at least 30 min after carbohydrate consumption and repeat treatment if required.

Up to 2 h after exercise, children and adolescents may refill the intramuscular and hepatic glycogen storages via carbohydrates and protein, similar to recommendations for children and adolescents without diabetes [100]. After finishing exercise, the sensor glucose target should be between 4.4 mmol/L and 10.0 mmol/L (80 mg/dL and 180 mg/dL) or higher, based on the risk of hypoglycaemia, in the 90 min post■exercise period [40] (D) , [76] (D) (Table 7). If sensor glucose levels increase rapidly post exercise, then an insulin correction can be considered (50% of typical correction dose), based on the individual's insulin sensitivity factor and sensor glucose level [97] (D) . However, correctional insulin dose close to bedtime should be avoided since it may increase the risk of post■exercise nocturnal hypoglycaemia. Importantly, frequently checking sensor glucose values should be stressed to help to reduce the likelihood of developing post■exercise late■onset hypoglycaemia following bolus insulin correction.

Sensor glucose targets for carbohydrates consumption during the post■exercise phase, including the nocturnal post■exercise phase if exercise was performed in the late afternoon/evening, in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes

Note: Sensor glucose threshold for treatments is detailed for the following groups with type 1 diabetes (T1D): intensively exercising and/or low risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 2); moderately exercising and/or moderate risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 1); minimally exercising and/or high risk of hypoglycaemia (Ex 0).

If an insulin correction is applied due to high sensor glucose levels, then the regular correction factor might be reduced by up to 50%.

These recommendations are not applicable to hybrid closed■loop systems.

The intensity of yellow shading indicates the level of action required: lighter yellow shading indicates that minimal/moderate action is required, while darker yellow shading indicates that moderate/intense action is required.

CHO, carbohydrates; Ex, exercise; hypo, hypoglycaemia.

If the sensor glucose level falls below 4.4 mmol/L (below 80 mg/dL) for children and adolescents of typical hypoglycaemia risk, then oral carbohydrates should be given; carbohydrates should be given at a higher glycaemic threshold for individuals at higher risk of hypoglycaemia (Table 7) [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) . Oral carbohydrate consumption should be repeated, as required, to stabilise glucose levels. There will be a time delay of up to 20 min following oral carbohydrate consumption before a change in the trend arrow should be expected to be observed.

Children and adolescents may set the hypoglycaemia alert threshold at 4.4 mmol/L (80 mg/dl), or even higher in those with a higher risk of hypoglycaemia, to be able to prospectively counteract impending hypoglycaemia [76] (D) . When reaching this lower threshold, the guidance as shown in

Table 7 can be followed and further individualised, if required. Children and adolescents using an isCGM should perform a scan at least twice during the nocturnal period (eg, at 01:00 hours and 04:00 hours) due to the increased risk of nocturnal hypoglycaemia [101 , 102 , 103 , 104] (D) , especially after exercise [81 , 104 , 105 , 106] (D) . Parents or other care providers can be alerted using the remote monitoring function within CGMs, which can support parents in their effort to avoid nocturnal hypoglycaemia in children [91] (D) . In addition to the intake of carbohydrates, the insulin strategies mentioned in Table 4 should also be applied to lower the risk of nocturnal hypoglycaemia [38] (D) , [39] (D) , [40] (D) .

In this position statement, we detailed the use of sensor glucose values accompanied by trend arrows for CGM and isCGM systems for different groups of people with type 1 diabetes and for different sensor glucose responses to exercise. Of note, in this position statement, recommendations for carbohydrate consumption were stratified with respect to the rate of change in glucose for the pre-exercise phase, during exercise, post-exercise and the nocturnal post-exercise phase. Taking the lag time of CGM and isCGM systems against SMBG around exercise into account, safe sensor glucose thresholds are recommended for people with type 1 diabetes. In general, these recommendations can be used as an initial guidance tool that also needs to be tailored individually. The recommendations in this position statement will need to be updated in future years to provide the best and most robust evidence-based recommendations for people with type 1 diabetes using CGM and isCGM for glycaemic control during exercise.

OM has received lecture fees from Medtronic, travel grants from Novo Nordisk A/S, Novo Nordisk AT, Novo Nordisk UK and Medtronic AT, research grants from Sêr Cymru II COFUND fellowship/European Union, Sanofi-Aventis, Novo Nordisk A/S, Novo Nordisk AT, Dexcom Inc., as well as material funding from Abbott Diabetes Care. MCR has received speaker's honorarium from Medtronic and Insulet and has served on advisory boards for Dexcom, Sanofi and Eli Lilly. MLE has received a KESS2/European Social Fund scholarship and travel grants from Novo Nordisk A/S and Sanofi-Aventis. PA has received research support or advisory board fees from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk and Roche, funding from Research and Development, Region Halland, and is an employee of Region Halland. RRL reports having received a consumable gift (in kind) from Medtronic. KN is a shareholder of Novo Nordisk, has received research support from Novo Nordisk, Roche Diagnostics and Zealand Pharma, has received lecture fees from Medtronic, Roche Diagnostics, Rubin Medical, Sanofi, Zealand Pharma, Novo Nordisk and Bayer, and has served on advisory panels for Medtronic, Abbott and Novo Nordisk. NSO has received honoraria for speaking and advisory board participation from Abbott Diabetes, Dexcom, Medtronic Diabetes and Roche Diabetes. DPZ has received speaker's honoraria from Medtronic Diabetes, Ascensia Diabetes and Insulet Corporation. TB has received honoraria for participation on advisory boards for Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Eli Lilly, Boehringer, Medtronic and Bayer HealthCare, and as a speaker for AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly, Bayer, Novo Nordisk, Medtronic, Sanofi and Roche, and owns stocks of DreaMed Diabetes. CDB has received speaker honoraria from MiniMed Medtronic and is a member of its European Psychology Advisory Board. RMBe has received research support from, consulted for or has been on a scientific advisory board for Abbott Diabetes Care, Dexcom, Eli Lilly, Johnson & Johnson, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, Onduo, Roche, Sanofi and United HealthCare. BB received grant support and advisory board fees from Medtronic Diabetes and ConvaTec, grant support and presentation fees from Insulet, advisory board fees from Novo Nordisk and Profusa, grant support from Eli Lilly, grant support and equipment from Dexcom, and is holding patent 61 197 230 on a hypoglycaemia prediction algorithm. EC is a scientific advisory board member/consultant for Novo Nordisk, Adocia, MannKind, Lexicon and Arcor, and a speaker for Novo Nordisk. TH is a shareholder of Profil, which has received research funds from Adocia, Boehringer Ingelheim, Dance Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly, Johnson & Johnson, MedImmune, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Mylan, Nordic Bioscience, Novo Nordisk, Poxel, Roche Diagnostics, Saniona, Sanofi, Senseonics and Zealand Pharma. SH has served as a consultant or speaker for Lilly, Novo Nordisk, Takeda, Boehringer Ingelheim, Mannkind, Sanofi-Aventis, Zealand Pharma and UNEEG. LL reports having received speaker honoraria from Animas, Abbott, Insulet, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, Roche and Sanofi, serving on advisory panels for Animas, Abbott, Novo Nordisk, Dexcom, Medtronic, Sanofi, and Roche, and research support from Novo Nordisk and Dexcom. CM serves or has

served on the advisory panel for Novo Nordisk, Sanofi, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Eli Lilly and Company, Novartis, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Hanmi Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Medtronic, ActoBio Therapeutics, Pfizer, Dianax and UCB. CS reports having received speaker honoraria from Medtronic and Ypsomed, and serving on advisory panels for Novo Nordisk, Medtronic, Roche and Sanofi. MT has received speaker honoraria from MiniMed Medtronic and Novo Nordisk. EGW has received personal fees from Abbott Diabetes Care, Dexcom, Eli Lilly, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi■Aventis. HS has received honoraria, travel support or unrestricted research grants by Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi■Aventis. PGJ has a financial interest in Pacific Diabetes Technologies Inc., a company that may have a commercial interest in the results of this research and technology. RMB has received honoraria as well as travel and educational grant support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly and Company, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi■Aventis. JKM is a member of the advisory board of Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Medtronic, Prediktor A/S, Roche Diabetes Care and Sanofi, and received speaker honoraria from Abbott Diabetes Care, AstraZeneca, Dexcom, Eli Lilly, Medtronic, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novo Nordisk A/S, Roche Diabetes Care, Sanofi, Servier and Takeda. The remaining authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

All authors of this position statement substantially contributed to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, drafted the article or revised it critically for important intellectual content and approved the final version to be published.

ESM Table 1 Systematic review and meta■analysis (Pubmed/Medline)

ESM Table 2: Systematic review and meta■analysis (Embase)

ESM Table 3: Systematic review and meta■analysis (Cochrane)

We want to thank P. Choudhary (King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK and Department of Diabetes, School of Life Course Sciences, King's College London, London, UK), S. Gray (Campuslife, Swansea University, Swansea, UK), S. Hofer (Department of Pediatrics, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria), M. Sauer (Energie Graz GmbH & Co KG, Graz, Austria) and D. J. West (Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK) for critical assessment of the manuscript before submission. We want to thank F. Aziz, A. Mueller and C. Unteregger (all Cardiovascular Diabetology Research Group, Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria) for the support with the production of figures and tables.